

RAPPORT DE LA COMMISSION DES REGLEMENTS DE LA FIE **FIE RULES COMMISSION REPORT** **INFORME DE LA COMISIÓN DE LOS REGLAMENTOS DE LA FIE**

Date, lieu et heure de la réunion : Date, place and time of the meeting: Fecha, lugar y horario de la reunión:	4th July ISTANBUL 13:30 5th July ISTANBUL 08:30
Participants: Participants: Participantes:	1. FERIANI Ziad (TUN) President 2. MATEEV Nikolay Ivanov (ISL) Representative of the Executive Committee in the Rules Commission 3. BARLADEANU, Roxana – Mariana (ROU) 4. CAFIERO Giuseppe (ITA) 5. KOEHLER Kelly (USA) 6. LAMMER Dieter (GER) 7. MAGHAYREH Eyyad (JOR) 8. PAGHIEV Mihail (MDA) 9. RAMIREZ Juan Carlos (PUR) 10. SINYAVSKAYA Maria (AIN)
Absences (indiquer si l'absence avait été annoncée) : Absent (indicate if the absence had been announced): Ausencias (indicar si la ausencia fue anunciada):	1. FARYABI Abbas Ali (IRI) absence had been announced

INTRODUCTION INTRODUCTION INTRODUCCIÓN

The President of the Commission welcomed all the members and moved to the agenda.

RODRIGUEZ Nathalie FIE CEO – 4th July

Arno SCHNEIDER Executive Committee on 5 July

RAPPORT DE LA RÉUNION MEETING REPORT INFORME DE LA REUNIÓN

Proposals for the 2025 Congress

Modifications of the Organisation Rules

The texts below are applicable on January 1st, 2026, unless mentioned otherwise.

Point 1 /Item 1 / Punto 1: Rules Commission Proposals for the Congress regarding the Organization Rules

Proposal 1, Article o.2 Presented by: Executive Committee

P. 1

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 2

Proposal 2, Article o.3 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION: immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 3

Proposal 3, Article o.3 Presented by: Legal Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 4

Proposal 4, Article o.4 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION : immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 5

Proposal 5, Article o.4.1-2 Presented by: Rules Commission

o.4

~~An individual~~ competition can only be classed or kept as a World Cup competition if it satisfies the following criteria.

- 1 Participation must include fencers:
 - from at least eight countries, for individual senior competitions in Europe.
 - from at least five countries, for individual senior competitions outside Europe.
 - from at least five countries, for individual junior competitions.
 - from at least six countries, for team senior competitions in Europe.
 - from at least four countries, for team senior competitions outside Europe.
 - from at least four countries, for team junior competitions.
- 2 Participation in individual competitions must include a minimum of ten fencers listed in the top 32 in the official ranking of the FIE and representing at least five different countries for senior competitions in Europe (no requirement for juniors and cadet competitions outside Europe).

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor, with adding the text in green “and cadet”.

Proposal 6, Article o.4.10 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 6

o.4

10 ~~There must be~~ It is responsibility of the federation of the organizer to inform the local doping Authorities of the competition, in order to allow them to arrange and perform an anti-doping test conforming to the regulations of the FIE (cf. o.107 and the FIE Anti-Doping Rules).

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green.

Proposal 7, Article o.12.2 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 7

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 8, Article o.15.6 Presented by: Legal Commission

P. 8

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favour of the revised proposal by the Legal Commission.

Proposal 9, Article o.15.6 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 9

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Cancelled.

Proposal 10, Article o.22.3 Presented by: Executive Committee

P. 10

APPLICATION: immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 11

Proposal 11, Article o.22.3 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 12

Proposal 12, Article o.22.5-6 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 13

Proposal 13, Article o.25 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION: immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 14

Proposal 14, Article o.25.3 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Cancelled.

P. 15

Proposal 15, Article o.26 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION : SEASON 2026-2027

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor. The motivation of the refereeing commission for proposal 16 was accepted. In favour of the modified text of the Refereeing Commission.

Proposal 16, Article o.26 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 16

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 17, Article o.27.1– Amendment of the Spanish version of o.27.1

P.17

Presented by: Legal Commission

Only applicable to Spanish version.

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 18, Article o.29.2 Presented by: Rules Commission

P.18

o.29

~~2 Fencers taking part in all the official FIE Cadet competitions must be under 17 years old at midnight on the 31st December of the year preceding the year in which the competition takes place.~~

To be eligible to compete in the current season's official FIE Cadet individual events, including the current season's ~~Zone Cadet Championships and~~ World Cadet Championships, fencers must be under 17 years of age at midnight on 31 December of the current season.

~~Fencers taking part in all the official FIE Junior competitions, individual or team, must be under 20 years old at midnight on 31st December of the year preceding the year in which the competition takes place.~~

To be eligible to compete in the current season's official FIE Junior events, individual or team, including the current season's Zone Junior Championships and World Junior Championships, fencers must be under 20 years of age at midnight on 31 December of the current season.

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor, but scratch the text in green “Zone Cadet Championship and”.

Proposal 19, Article o.29.4 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 19

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 20, Article o.31 Presented by: Executive Committee

P.20

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 21, Article o.35 from: Rules Commission

P. 21

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 22, Articles o.37, o.38 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION : immediate

P.22

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 23, Article o.38 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 23

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

If all the proposals regarding the Cadet World Cup are approved by the FIE Congress, this proposal should be cancelled.

Proposal 24, Article o.41.2 a) Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 24

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 25, Article o.51 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION: immediate

P. 25

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 26, Article o.61 Presented by: Executive Committee

P.26

APPLICATION : immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 27, Article o.61.1 Presented by: Rules Commission

P.27

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 28, Article o.61.3 Presented by: Rules Commission

P.28

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 29, Article o.62.1 Presented by : Executive Committee

P.29

APPLICATION: immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 30, Article o.73 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 30

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Cancelled.

Proposal 31 Article o.79.2 Presented by: Rules Commission**P. 31**

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 32 Article o.87.1 Presented by: Rules Commission**P. 32****o.87**

1 The main phase consists of an integral direct elimination table, which is fenced on four pistes, one quarter of the table per piste. The first round of the table of 64 may, however, if required for the organisation of the competition, be fenced on eight pistes. ~~Grand Prix competitions must be fenced on four pistes.~~ Grand Prix competitions **can** must be fenced on ~~four~~ or eight pistes equipped with the video-refereeing.

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green.

Proposal 33, Article o.89 Presented by: Executive Committee**P. 33****APPLICATION:** immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 34, Article o.95 Presented by: Executive Committee**P. 34**

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 35, Article o.98.3 Presented by: Rules Commission**P. 35****APPLICATION:** immediate

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 36, Article o.99.5 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 36

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 37, Article o.105 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION: immediate

P. 37

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 38, Article o.108 Presented by: Executive Committee

APPLICATION: immediate

P. 38

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 39, Article o.109. 2 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 39

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 40, Article o.110 Presented by: French Fencing Federation

P. 40

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor. It is a personal decision and is discrimination for other exceptional situations (injuries, military service, etc.).

Proposal 41, Article o.119 – Urgent Decision Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 41

VETERANS TEAM EVENTS

o.119

1 Participation

Each country may enter one team per gender and per weapon, thus giving a total of 6 competitions. The teams shall be composed of fencers who participated in the individual competition of the corresponding weapon, ~~but c.f. o.103.2 b below.~~

2 The rules for team competitions are applicable with the following exceptions:

a) For each weapon, the teams are composed of three fencers of the same nationality, one from category "A", one from "B" and one from "C", with or without a reserve for each category. A team cannot begin the match if it is not complete.

b) In the case of a team being short of a fencer in any of the weapons, the team may use a fencer who has competed in the individual championships at another weapon or who is registered but has yet to compete, but his/her ranking will be deemed to be last in the individual competition at the weapon of the team in which he is going to fence plus 1.

c) The teams are placed in the ~~pools~~ **direct elimination table** according to the ranking of the ~~three~~ **two best** fencers in **each category** in the individual championship, **registered for the team event. In the table 16 the losers are placed in table B with direct elimination, losers from the table of 8 are placed in table C with direct elimination (Annexe B) Teams are ranked, within each round of the table, according to their initial place in the table. The losers of the semifinals will fight for the 3rd place. The team ranked 1 is the one having the lowest total.** All the fencers registered for the team event must be present at the piste before the start of each match.

d) Each team may request, before a given relay, the substitution of a fencer. However, in case of injury or other medical reason duly recognized by the Medical delegate, the substitution can be immediate, even during the relay. There can only be one substitution per team per match.

3 A fencer who has been replaced because of an injury may not fence again during that match. If both a fencer and the reserve are forced to retire, or if a fencer is excluded, their team is deemed to have lost the match.

4 The relay system is always applied. The three fencers of a team fence against their opponent of the same category. Each fencer fences his/her opponent twice giving a total of 6 relays. The relays are for 5 hits (5-10-15-20- etc.). Exceptionally, a relay may end at more than 5, 10, 15 etc. if a fencer scores

a valid last hit of the relay and is at the same time awarded an additional penalty hit: in this case both hits will be counted; the maximum time for each relays is 3 minutes.

5 The team that first reaches the maximum score of 30 hits, or that which has scored the greatest number of hits after the expiry of regulation time, is the winner.

6 The order of categories for each match is established by the referee with the captains on the piste prior to the start of the match: The referee will draw lots and the winning captain will choose the first category in the order. The losing captain will choose the second category.

~~7 The competition consists of a round of pools of 3 or 4 teams, in which all the teams participate, and a direct elimination phase for the highest placed 16 teams; the other teams will be classified according to their ranking after the round of pools. October 2024 44 FIE~~

~~a) The order of matches in a pool of 4 is as follows:~~

~~Match 1 : The team ranked 1 vs the team ranked 4~~

~~Match 2 : The team ranked 2 vs the team ranked 3~~

~~Match 3 : The winner of Match 1 vs the loser of Match 2~~

~~Match 4 : The winner of Match 2 vs the loser of Match 1~~

~~Match 5 and Match 6 : The two remaining matches.~~

~~b) The order of matches in a pool of 3 is as follows:~~

~~Match 1 : The team ranked 2 vs the team ranked 3~~

~~Match 2 : The team ranked 1 vs the loser of Match 1~~

~~Match 3 : The team ranked 1 vs the winner of Match 1~~

~~The initial ranking on which the drawing of the pools is based is established from the results of the fencers in the individual events. (cf. e.103.2.c)~~

~~The composition of the direct elimination table of 16 teams (or 8 if there are less than 16 teams) is established according to the general ranking established by the results of all the teams in the pool round. In the event of an absolute tie between the teams ranked 16 and 17 (or 8 and 9), the highest ranked team in the initial pool ranking shall qualify.~~

~~However, in no case, teams which have already fenced each other in the pools should meet again immediately in the direct elimination. To avoid this, the lower ranked of the two teams in question may be moved:~~

~~—in the case of a tableau of 16, the team ranked 9 may change places only with the team ranked 10, the team ranked 11 may change places only with the team ranked 12, the team ranked 13 may change places only with the team ranked 14 and the team ranked 15 may change places only with the team ranked 16.~~

~~—in the case of a tableau of 8, the team ranked 5 may change places only with the team ranked 6 and the team ranked 7 may change places only with the team ranked 8.~~

~~8 If fewer than 6 teams participate in the competition, they all fence in a pool unique; a match for the 3rd and 4th places is then held, followed by a match for the first place between the teams who came 1st and 2nd in the pool.~~

~~9 Ranking matches from 5th place onwards will not be fenced and teams will be ranked, in each round of the table, according to their ranking after the pools.~~

Each country may enter one team per category (Veterans and Grand Veterans) per gender and per weapon, thus giving a total of twelve competitions. The teams shall be composed of fencers who participated in the individual competition of the corresponding weapon. ; ~~but c.f. o.103.2 b below.~~

2. The rules for team competitions are applicable with the following exceptions:

Veterans: teams of three composed of fencers belonging to either age group 40-49 or to age group 50-59, with at least one fencer belonging to age group 50-59, plus up to two reserves.

Grand Veterans: teams of three composed of fencers belonging to either age group 60-69 or to age group 70 and over, with at least one fencer belonging to age group 70 and over, plus up to two reserves.

Fencing mode is 45 hits relay described in o.99 with application of non-combativity rule at any match.

~~The competition begins with a round of pools of 3 and 4 teams established according to o.103 c) and followed by a direct elimination phase according to o.103 7. Particular cases depending on the number of teams:~~

~~• only 1 team: the organisers must inform all nations that there will be no competition at that weapon.~~

~~• 2 teams: only one match between these two teams.~~

~~• 3 teams: one poule of three followed by D.E. from an incomplete tableau of 4.~~

~~• 4 teams: one poule of four followed by D.E. from a tableau of 4.~~

~~• 5 teams: one poule of five followed by D.E. from an incomplete tableau of 8.~~

~~The losers of the semi-finals have to fence for the bronze medal.~~

a) In the case of a team being short of a fencer in any of the weapons, the team may use a fencer who has competed in the individual championships at another weapon or who is registered but has yet to compete, but his/her ranking will be deemed to be last in the individual competition at the weapon of the team in which he is going to fence plus 1.

~~b) The teams are placed in the pools according to the ranking of the three fencers in the individual championship. The number 1 team is the one with the least points. All the fencers registered for the team event must be present at the piste before the start of each match.~~

b) The teams are placed in the direct elimination table according to the ranking of the two best fencers in each category in the individual championship, registered for the team event. In the table 16 the losers are placed in table B with direct elimination, losers from the table of 8 are placed in table C with direct elimination (Annexe B) Teams are ranked, within each round of the table, according to their initial place in the table. The losers of the semifinals will fight for the 3rd place. All the fencers registered for the team event must be present at the piste before the start of each match.

c) Each team may request, before a given relay, the substitution of a fencer. However, in case of injury or other medical reason, duly recognized by the medical delegate, the substitution can be immediate, even during the relay.

There can be up to two substitutions of reserves per match but must maintain compliance with the age category representation requirement.

A fencer, once substituted, may not fence again in that match.

Application : during the test at the 2025 World Championships (not applicable in 2023 and 2024)

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green.

Point 2/Item 2 / Punto 2: Proposals for the Congress regarding the Technical Rules

Proposal 42, Article t.9.1 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 42

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor, because the wording of “consistent continuous forward movement” is not clear enough.

Proposal 43, Articles t.20, m.25.5 Presented by: Great Britain Fencing Federation

P. 43

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor, except point d in m.25 and in favour to the addition by the PCM Commission here below:

Any fencer taking a lesson must wear at least a mask, **and** a glove, a **chest protector** a top covering the torso and shoulders, and shorts which reach to within 10cm of the knees or lower.

P. 44

Proposal 44, Articles t.22 8,9,10,11,12,13 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 45

Proposal 45, Articles t.23, t.120 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

t.23

~~6 The Referee may not allow a fencer to leave the piste, save in exceptional circumstances. If a competitor does so without permission he is liable to incur the penalties enumerated in Articles t.158-162, t.165, t.170, for the offences of the 1st group.~~

t.120

~~Should a fencer abandon a bout by leaving the piste (cf. t.23.6),~~ The Referee may not allow a fencer to **leave the piste**, ~~save~~ **except** in exceptional circumstances. If a competitor does so **without permission** he will be penalised as specified in Articles **t.158-162, t.165, t.170**, for the offences of the 1st group

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green (*applicable in English only*)

Proposal 46, Article t.30.2 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 46

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 47, Article t.43 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 47

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor, all the apparatus used in the FIE competitions are equipped with the time display.

Proposal 48, Article t.48 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 48

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 49, Articles t.49, t.50 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 49

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 50, Articles t.50.3, t.159, t.167, t.169, t.170 Presented by: Executive Committee

P. 50

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 51, Art. t.50.2-3 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 51

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 52, Article t.51 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 52

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 53, Article t.52 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 53

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green

t.52

The Rules described in t.50 and t.51 ~~above are applied by the Directoire Technique, assisted for the finals by the official FIE Refereeing delegate.~~ should be applied if a the number of referees allows it.

and in favour of the opinion of the Refereeing Commission:

"In favour, however if Cadets World Cup will be organised add "Cadet and Junior World Cup^s and Satellite competitions."

Proposal 54, Article t.56.11 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 54

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 55, Articles t.61.3, t.62 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 55

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 56, Article t.64.3 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 56

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 57, Article t.64.6 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 57

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 58

Proposal 58, Article t.71 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 59

Proposal 59, Article t.72 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 60

Proposal 60, Article t.77 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 61

Proposal 61, Article t.109 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 62

Proposal 62, Article t.116 Presented by: Rules Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 63, Article t.118.1 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 63

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 64, Article t.121 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 64

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor. The language should be revised, section in the rules should be revised and the sanction is missing.

Proposal 65, Article t.124 Presented by: Executive Committee

P. 65

APPLICATION : season 2026-2027

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 66, Art. t.127 Presented by: Great Britain Fencing Federation

P. 66

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 67, Article t.131 Presented by: Great Britain Fencing Federation

P. 67

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Not in favor, except points 4 and 5 which were integrated in Proposal 68. In favour of the modification of the Coaches council:

6 For World Championships coaches and team captains inside the competition area must wear the ~~official national~~ tracksuit indicating the country they are representing. ~~top of the nation they are supporting.~~

Proposal 68, Article t. 131.1, 2, 3, 4 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 68

t.131

Opinion of the Rules Commission: In favour with the modification in yellow and transfer of points 4 and 5 from proposal 67 (here points 5 and 6) with the modifications of the Coaches council for proposal 67 for t.131.6

1. During the round of pools nobody except fencer and referees is allowed to be ~~inside~~ in the **Field of Play Piste-Enclosure**.

4 2 During the direct elimination of the individual events, the fencer's **coach, medical staff and technicians** are not allowed to remain near their fencers; **the coaches** are allowed to be inside the competition area in a place indicated by the organisers.

2 3 The Referee may, whenever he considers it necessary, authorise a person to **come briefly to the assistance** of a fencer.

4 Each nation which has a fencer taking part in the round of the competition in progress may designate a maximum of **two people** who have the right to be positioned near the **Field of Play Piste-Enclosure, outside it but close to a point of access**. The organisers must provide the necessary space for these people.

5 When inside the Field of Play, coaches and team captains must wear full length trousers, tops covering their torso and shoulders and closed shoes.

6 For World Championships coaches and team captains inside the competition area must wear the ~~official national~~ tracksuit indicating the country they are representing. ~~top of the nation they are supporting.~~

Proposal 69, Article t.135 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 69

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 70 Article t.158 Presented by: Refereeing Commission

P. 70

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 71, Article t.170 Presented by: Executive Committee

P. 71

APPLICATION : season 2026-2027

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 72, Article t.172.1 Presented by: Rules Commission

P. 72

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Point 3 /Item 3 / Punto 3: Proposals for the Congress regarding the Material Rules

Proposal 73, Article m.1.4 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 73

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 74, Article m.2.2 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 74

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 75, Article m.5.3 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 75

m.5

- 3 Any system of attachment inside the guard is allowed, provided that it conforms to the following requirements.

a) It must be **easy to detach** or **attach** the bodywire.

b) It must be possible to **check it** ~~by a simple method~~ ~~such as using a penknife or a coin.~~

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor, but with the modification in green.

Proposal 76, Articles m.5.3, m.19.1 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 76

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 77, Article m.5.4.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 77

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 78, Article m.5.4 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 78

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 79, Article m.5.5.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 79

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 80, Article m.5.5.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 80

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 81, Article m.5.5.a, m.38.1 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 81

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 82, Article m.5.5.d Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 82

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 83, Article m.8.5.d, m.10 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 83

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 84, Article m.9 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 84

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 85, Article m.11 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 85

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 86, Article m.12.1 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 86

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 87, Article m.12.3 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 87

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 88

Proposal 88, Article m.12.7 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 89

Proposal 89, Article m.16.1 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 90

Proposal 90, Article m.19.3 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 91

Proposal 91, Article m.19.4.b, 6 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 92

Proposal 92, Article m.23.3 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 93

Proposal 93, Article m.24.5 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 94

Proposal 94, Article m.25 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 95, Article m.25.3.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 95

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 96, Article m.25.3.g Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 96

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 97, Article m.25.7.c Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 97

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 98, Article m.25.7.d, m.38 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 98

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 99, Article m.28.5.c, m.38 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 99

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 100, Article m.29.1.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 100

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 101, Article m.29.3.c Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 101

m.29

3

~~c) The wire from the point will be protected by an **insulated sheath** from the place where it enters the guard right up to the insulated connection on the plug socket. Under no circumstances may the non-insulated wire extend beyond this insulated plug connection (cf. m.5, m.9).~~

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 102, Article m.31.1.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 102

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 103, Articles m.39.2, m.38.6 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 103

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 104, Articles m.39.3, m.41 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 104

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 105, Articles m.40, m.41, m.37 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 105

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favour of the modified version of the SEMI Commission:

4. The **Executive Committee** of the FIE will appoint the member(s) of the SEMI Commission to be **responsible accountable** for the checking of weapons, clothing and equipment of the fencers at the fencing competitions of the Olympic Games and for the World Championship.

(Modification applicable to English only)

Proposal 106, Article m.42.1 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 106

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 107, Article m 42.2.c Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 107

m.42

2.

c) An electrical checking device to check quickly ~~that~~ the electrical **resistance** of the point ~~is not too high~~, and that the bodywire and the weapon are **correctly assembled**. ~~Devices enabling these measurements to be taken easily are, in fact, commercially available.~~

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor with the modification in green.

Proposal 108, Articles m 52.4, art. m.51.11 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 108

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

Proposal 109, Article m 56.2 Presented by: SEMI Commission

P. 109

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 110

Proposal 110, Article m.56.5 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 111

Proposal 111, Article m.57.5.a Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 112

Proposal 112, Article m.57.5.c Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 113

Proposal 113, Article m.57.9 Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

In favor.

P. 114

Proposal 114, Annex B Scoring Machine Presented by: SEMI Commission

Opinion of the Rules Commission:

Proposal was withdrawn by the SEMI Commission.
