

Minutes

Meeting Referee Commission 12/13 June 2014 Kazan

Presence:

Guests: A. Pascu, F. Pietruszka, K. Kulczar, Ao Jie

RC: all members were present

1) Welcome (start 9:00 h)

The chair makes welcome all guests and members.

Letter Nahas /LIB:

The General Secretary (SG) informed that the proceedings by Disciplinary Panel are finished. The complain have been rejected for formal reasons because they was given to FIE later than 20 days after action. That' s why the proceedings are closed.

The both RC members reserve to take legal steps for oneself and are attending support by FIE.

Letter Secretary General 27-4-2014 to Commission Presidents:

The SG explained the intension of this letter. The Commission members expressed their interest at a independence of the elected commission.

The SG explained the message of Thomas Bach to increase the intelligibility of fencing and the protection of good refereeing.

2) Minutes RC 1-4-2014 Plovdiv

The minutes where confirmed.

3) Flashback activities RC and evaluation referees 2014

The chair informed about the activities of RC. He presented statistics about exams (attachment) and works of referees at the WC/GP/Continental- and JC-WCH Championships till now (attachment).

In the discussion following points were made:

Exams:

- The commission was uniform in their agreement, that the exams have to continue as planned. Agree was given in the opinion, that a positive passing of new referees is necessary to allow entry to a potential start of referees carrier and as well the possibility to discover new talents.
- As a group, the commission noticed the different acting of Continental leading (Africa / PAM) regarding the given proposals / inquiries by the RC.

WC/GP/Continental Championships:

- the evaluation of referees was done in a detailed discussion (grading, reasons for absents, presence elite, expenses etc)
- in consideration the importance of the further Olympic qualification season the composition of referees for all Continental Championships have to follow strictly the requirements of best refereeing.
- FIE have to exercise their influence to all organizers of WC/GP/Continental Championships to implement the PC-program were is able to safe the application of current rules regarding the refereeing.

4) Preparation further activities 2014/15 on base of program RC

The RC has given their program including financial calculations for the planned activities for the financial year 2014/15 to the ExCom 18 April 2014. Unfortunately no any feedback was given regarding the acceptance/rejecting of activities of this program. The SG promised to give written in next few days after consultation with the Chief Financial Officer.

The planned list of activities was discussed one more time. RC confirms their proposals (attachment).

5) Master list referees 2014/15

The chair presents a formula as orientation for continents quotas (attachment). It was accepted.

The commission was agreed:

- to made a variation in the master 2014/15 list so far to remove weaker ones and to designate new able referees
- goal was reducing of the master list in comparison to the last season
- eventual action to bring back referees who failed before was discussed
- after extended discussion and with consideration of the evaluation reports of last season the master list was established (attachment)

6) Designations referees/delegates WC/GP 2014/15

After discussion all was agree:

- designations will made till 31 December 2014 (referees and delegates. The remaining part of the season will be done in the next RC meeting October 2014.

The SG informed about the confirmed possible schedule for GP's. After discussion was agree to designate following the principles:

- GP: 8 referees by host continent plus two from the other continents as a common group for both disciplines (total 14) plus 10 referees of host country (if it's possible). One reserve per zone.
- WC: 5 referees by host continent plus one from the other continents. One reserve per zone.
- two RC delegates a GP, one for WC.
- so far is matching with the goal to safe best refereeing the RC agrees to designate women.

7) Preparation host referees for Olympic Games 2016

The discussion's target was to find some supports to increase the level of refereeing for potential BRA referees for OG Rio 2016.

As possibilities the RC see:

- additional designation of 1-2 BRA referees to the both followed WCH J/C and/or seminars of FIE.
- financial support by FIE for seminars in BRA leaded by intern personal capacities of BRA Federation

8) Elite body 2014/15

The discussion about the Elite group was postponed after the WCH Kazan. The opinion of RC will given soon afterwards to the FIE. The SG expressed, that the opinion of Coaches- and Athlet's Council will be included in the final ExCom decision. The principles of composition that were applied in 2013/14 should furthermore respected.

9) Studies proposals FIE Congress 2014

The RC discussed the corresponded proposals (statement attached).

10) Miscellaneous**Death referee Rafal Snyder/POL:**

RC asks to dedicat a special awarding by FIE. A, Pascu will check the possibilities.

Increasing of refereeing in juniors level:

RC invites the Confederations to check the possibilities to install a system. As orientation shall be the FIE-current system for on seniors level.

Letter Woman 's Council regarding refereeing:

The RC welcomes all woman 's as long as the principle promoting best refereeing is followed.

The RC is in favour on National Federations presenting an extra candidate at exams if the candidate is a women. This will made a maximum of four candidates per country.

Reconstruction of Rules:

RC supports the intension of I.Knysch to form a working group between a Referees and Rules-Commission with the goal of simplification of FIE rules.

Black card Emile Oancea:

RC noticed the acceptance of the card given during the last African Championships by Tunisian Federation. RC is't in a position to influence the time of validation of this card.

Evaluation form RC:

The further development and improvement of "Referees Evaluation Form" will discussed in the next RC meeting October 2014.

Claus Janka, 26 June 2014

Attachments:

Masterlist 2014/15

Summery evaluations 2014

Opinion to Congress proposals

Activities RC 2014/15

Summery exams 2014

Continental quota

PROPOSALS FOR THE AMENDMENT OF THE RULES
CONGRES –2014

Submitted to the Refereeing Commission

Proposals postponed in 2013
Hungarian Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 1

ANG

Motivation: This is only to clarify the existing rule, ie. the fencer should only be penalised by stepping back one meter in case the fencer crosses the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet.

t. 17.9

If a fencer has crossed the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet, he may be put back on guard at the correct distance even if this places him behind the rear line and thereby causes a hit to be awarded against him.

Opinion of the working group (Rules, Refereeing, Promotion Commissions, Coaches Council) charge of the review of the proposals postponed in 2013 : In favour if modified as follows:

If a fencer has crossed the lateral boundaries of the piste with one or two feet, he may be put back on guard at the correct distance even if this places him behind the rear line and thereby causes a hit to be awarded against him

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: agree with opinion of working group (safe current rule)

Proposal 2

ANG

Motivation: This is only to clarify the existing rule, ie. the fencer should only be penalised by stepping back one meter in case the fencer crosses the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet.

t.28.1

If a competitor crosses one of the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet, he must step back one metre from the point where he left the piste; and if he goes off the piste during an attack he must return to the position he occupied when he started his attack and then step back a further metre (but cf t.29).

Opinion of the working group (Rules, Refereeing, Promotion Commissions, Coaches Council) charge of the review of the proposals postponed in 2013 : In favour if modified as follows:

If a competitor crosses one of the lateral boundaries of the piste with one or two feet, he must step back one meter from the point where he left the piste; and if he goes off the piste during an attack he must return to the position he occupied when he started his attack and then step back a further metre (but cf t.29).

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: agree with opinion of working group (safe current rule)

Proposal 3

ANG

Motivation: This is only to clarify the existing rule, ie. the fencer should only be penalised by stepping back one meter in case the fencer crosses the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet.

t.102

If a competitor crosses one of the lateral boundaries of the piste with both feet, he must step back one metre from the point where he left the piste; and if he goes off the piste during an attack he must return to the position he occupied when he started his attack and then step back a further metre.

Opinion of the working group (Rules, Refereeing, Promotion Commissions, Coaches Council) charge of the review of the proposals postponed in 2013: In favour if modified as follows:

If a competitor crosses one of the lateral boundaries of the piste with one or



two feet, he must step back one metre from the point where he left the piste; and if he goes off the piste during an attack he must return to the position he occupied when he started his attack and then step back a further metre.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: agree with opinion of working group (safe current rule)

Proposals postponed in 2013 Russian Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 4

ANG

Motivation: The bigger variation of replacements during the team match, tactical play of coaches in comparison with previous rules will greatly enhance the interest of spectators in the events taking place on the piste.

o.44.10

a) In the course of a match the captain of a team may ask to **substitute** for a fencer the reserve nominated before the start of the match. This substitution may only be made at the end of a bout. ~~The fencer who has been replaced may not fence again during that match in order to replace a fencer on the piste, even in the case of an accident or unavoidable circumstances.~~ The replaced fencer has the right to fence one more time during the current team match in order to replace a fencer, except when the first replacement has been done for medical reasons. **The announcement** that a fencer is to be substituted, which must be reported by the Referee to the Directoire Technique and to the opposing team captain, must be made at the latest **before the beginning of the bout preceding the next bout of the fencer who is to be replaced.**

Opinion of the working group (Rules, Refereeing, Promotion Commissions, Coaches Council) charge of the review of the proposals postponed in 2013:

To allow more flexibility will reduce the risk that a team has to withdraw because of injury and also give some more room for tactical decisions for the team captain. At the same time, it was not considered desirable that two fencers could fence two relays against each other during the same team match.

In favour if modified as follows:

In the course of a match the captain of a team may ask to substitute for a fencer the reserve nominated before the start of the match. This substitution may only be made at the end of a bout. **However, the fencer who has been replaced may fence one more time during that match, but only to replace the substitute fencer, except when the first replacement has been done for the reasons listed in article o.44.11. No further substitution is allowed.** ~~The fencer who has been replaced may not fence again during that match in order to replace a fencer on the piste,~~ even in the case of an accident or unavoidable circumstances. The announcement that a fencer is to be substituted, which must be reported by the Referee to the Directoire Technique and to the opposing team captain, must be made at the latest before the beginning of the bout preceding the next bout of the fencer who is to be replaced.

NB: The working group remarked that if accepted, this change would not be applicable in the Olympic Games.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: agree with the precision of working group

Proposals postponed in 2013 US Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 5

ANG

Proposed Change to Rules of Competition Governing the “Crossover” at Sabre (Rule t.75.5)

Motivation: The current rule has increasingly caused problems for fencers, referees, trainers and spectators. The rule is not completely clear as to when a crossover occurs and the critical point is difficult for the referee to see accurately. The problem is magnified during video reviews because the fixed camera position gives a skewed perspective that makes it difficult to see accurately the relative positions of the rear heel and the toes of the front foot. As a result, disagreements and confusion about crossing over actions have



grown more frequent and video review is not as effective as it might be in resolving them.

As now formulated a crossover is said to occur during a forward movement when “the rear foot completely passes the front foot.” This appears to mean the crossover occurs when the heel of the rear foot passes the tips of the toes of the front foot. But at that point the lower legs and the ankles have already passed each other, and a small but real step can take place. Indeed, one can by taking small steps actually walk down the piste without violating the rule. The proposed changes address these concerns.

The current rule is also difficult for referees to apply during the heat of the action because the precise moment when the heel of the rear foot passes the toes of the front foot is hard to see. The proposed language states that the crossover occurs when the heel of the rear foot passes the heel of the front foot. This alignment of body parts should be much easier for the referee to observe, both during live action and during video replay, and facilitates application of the rule.

Summary: The proposed change is intended to decrease ambiguity about when a prohibited crossing of the feet occurs in sabre by clarifying the point at which a crossover happens.

CURRENT RULE t.75.5:

5. The fleche and any forward movement in which the rear foot completely passes the front foot is forbidden. Any offence will be penalised as specified for penalties in the 1st group (cf. t.114, t.116 et t.120). Any hit scored by the fencer at fault will be annulled. However, any hit correctly executed by his opponent is valid.

PROPOSED RULE:

5. The fleche and any forward movement in which the heel of the the rear foot passes the heel of the front foot is forbidden. Any offence will be penalised as specified for penalties in the 1st group (cf. t.114, t.116 et t.120). Any hit scored by the fencer at fault will be annulled. However, any hit correctly executed by his opponent is valid.

PROPOSED RULE, WITH CHANGES MARKED:

5. The fleche and any forward movement in which the **heel of the** rear foot ~~completely~~ passes **the heel of** the front foot is forbidden. Any offence will be penalised as specified for penalties in the 1st group (cf. t.114, t.116 et t.120). Any hit scored by the fencer at fault will be annulled. However, any hit correctly executed by his opponent is valid.

Opinion of the working group (Rules, Refereeing, Promotion Commissions, Coaches Council) charge of the review of the proposals postponed in 2013: In favour.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Proposals from the Rules Commission

Proposal 1

ANG

Motivation: Up-dating of the articles on logo for zonal championships.

t.45.4

b) Wearing his national uniform **and logo** (cf. m.25.3). Application as follows:

- i) Open, Junior and Cadet World Championships, all bouts, whether in a pool, in the direct elimination **and** ~~or~~ during a team match;
- ii) Individual: Senior World Cup competitions and ~~in individual~~ Zonal Championships, **all bouts, whether in a pool or in the direct elimination;**
- iii) **Teams:** World Cup ~~team~~ competitions **and Zonal Championships**, all bouts in every match.

In case of violation of this rule:

For the competitions mentioned in items i) and iii) above, the Referee shall eliminate the fencer at fault, and he will not be allowed to participate further in the event.

- For the competitions mentioned in item ii) above, the Referee shall penalise the fencer at fault with a Red Card (Articles t.114, t.117, t.120, Second Group). The fencer at fault shall however be allowed to remain on



the piste and fence the bout concerned.

~~The same penalty is also applied for the absence of name and nationality, in accordance with the rules, on the back of the jacket at Junior World Cup competitions, at Individual Senior World Cup competitions before the last 64, and at Zonal Championships.~~

Opinion of the Refereeing, Promotion Commissions and Coaches Council: In favour.

NB: recommend that in the English rules the word “Open Championships” be replaced by the equivalent of “Championnats Seniors” in French.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 2

ANG

Motivation: Up-dating of the articles on national logo and name on the back for zonal championships.

Fault 0.1 in t.120.

Absence of name **and nationality** on the back, absence of **national logo** or national **costume colours** when compulsory, at World Championships/Team World Cup competitions **and at team Zonal Championships.**

Opinion of the Refereeing, Promotion Commissions and Coaches Council: In favour.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 3

ANG

Motivation: Up-dating of the articles on national logo and name on the back for zonal championships.

Fault 2.4 of t.120

Absence of name **and nationality** on the back, absence of **national logo** colours when compulsory, at individual World Cup competitions and **individual** Zonal Championships.

Opinion of the Refereeing, Promotion Commissions and Coaches Council: In favour.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 4

ANG

Motivation: After the incident in London, there is a proposal to change the rules so that also milliseconds are shown on the chronometer and that the referee controls the time-keeping in the last minute. There is an initial proposal from Swiss Timing to have the command “Allez” being given by the machine.

Proposals of the Commission:

- Chronometers showing 100th of seconds should be introduced,
- The referee should be able to manually set the time to the previous time with precision of 100th of a second,
- The referee should operate the time-keeping during the last minute,
- The remote control for the referee should be simplified to make it easier to handle and should operate with radio and not IR-signal,
- Any oral commands should be given by the referee and not by the machine
- Introduction of this system should be made step by step, beginning with the World Championships and the Olympic Games.

Opinion of the Refereeing, Promotion Commissions and Coaches Council: In favour. These proposals should be submitted to the SEMI Commission for approval and preparation of the corresponding texts into the Rules.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 7

Iranian Fencing Federation Proposals



Motivation: Since article t.20.2 was deleted in Paris 2013 Congress. It seems that the phrase – **a corps a corps at foil and sabre** – in t.104 should be deleted as well. If we have a look on the rules, the articles that are about “a corps a corps” are: t.20, t.63 and t.120. In t.20 where the deletion was happened and t.63.2, nothing is mentioned about **awarding a hit which has not in fact been received**, on the other hand, the only thing that is mentioned is reference to t.114, t.116, t.120 as well as annulling the hit of the fencer at fault. In t.120 this kind of offence is stated among the first group of offences whose penalty is yellow card as well as annulling the hit of the fencer at fault.

t.104. A competitor may have **a hit awarded against him** which he has not in fact received, either because he has crossed the rear limit of the piste (cf. t.27), or because he has committed an offence which has prevented his opponent fencing (**a flèche attack which jostles the opponent, a corps à corps at foil or sabre**, the use of the unarmed hand while fencing, etc.) (cf. t.96.2, t.114.3.a/b, t.116, t.117, t.118.1, t.120).

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 8

ANG

Motivation: Since article t.20.2 was deleted in Paris 2013 Congress. It seems that the phrase – **a corps a corps at foil and sabre** – in t.104 should be deleted as well. If we have a look on the rules, the articles that are about “a corps a corps” are: t.20, t.63 and t.120. In t.20 where the deletion was happened and t.63.2, nothing is mentioned about **awarding a hit which has not in fact been received**, on the other hand, the only thing that is mentioned is reference to t.114, t.116, t.120 as well as annulling the hit of the fencer at fault. In t.120 this kind of offence is stated among the first group of offences whose penalty is yellow card as well as annulling the hit of the fencer at fault.

Jostling the opponent in t.20.2 and **jostling the opponent during a fleche attack** which is stated only at epee (according to the current rules), in t.63.3 and t.87.2, has the same above penalty according to its reference (t.120), offence 1.15 of the first group.

Moreover, as all the rules mentioned above are among the references of t.103, it seemed there should be no need for **(a flèche attack which jostles the opponent, a corps à corps at foil or sabre)** in t.104.

On the other hand, logically, **intentional brutality** such as **fleche resulting in a shock** which is presented in t.63.3 and fleche attack which finishes with a collision which is presented in t.87.2 deserves red card which should be added to the references of t.104.

t.104. A competitor may have **a hit awarded against him** which he has not in fact received, either because he has crossed the rear limit of the piste (cf. t.27), or because he has committed an offence which has prevented his opponent fencing (**a flèche attack which jostles the opponent, a corps à corps at foil or sabre intentional brutality**, the use of the unarmed hand while fencing, etc.) (cf. t.96.2, t.114.3.a/b, t.116, t.117, t.118.1, t.120).

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor (except adding intentionally brutality)

Proposal 10

ANG

A proposal for o.11

o.11. Individual competitions may be organised:

- a) By direct elimination with a mixed system** consisting of one round of eliminating pools and a preliminary direct elimination table, followed by a main direct elimination table of 64 fencers to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- b) By direct elimination with a mixed system** consisting of one round of eliminating pools, followed by a direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- c) By direct elimination throughout.** (This formula, applicable at the Olympic Games, is contained in an annexe.)

Motivation: As it is obvious in this article, only 3 kinds of formulas are to be used in organizing FIE official competitions. But since nowadays the number of competitions has increased and the level of competitions which are under the supervision of FIE has highly differed, we propose to improve this article according to today's FIE official



competitions needs.

First of all, let's review the current FIE competitions:

1. Open Senior World Championships
2. Open Senior World Cups
3. Grand Prixes
4. Open Junior World Championships
5. Open Junior World Cups
6. Zone Championships
7. Cadet World Championships
8. Satellite Competitions
9. The Masters
10. The Olympics
11. The Regional Games

We know that the formulas that are used in some of the above mentioned competitions are not the same, thereby, we are going to assemble the current formulas and at the same time we will add our proposed ones.

o.11. Individual competitions may be organized:

- a) By a mixed formula with exemption (Open Senior World Championships, Senior World Cups and Grand Prixes)** One round of eliminating pools, a preliminary direct elimination table, followed by a main direct elimination table of 64 fencers, including 32 exempted fencers, to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- b) By a mixed formula without exemption (Open Junior World Championships, Junior World Cups and Zonal Championships)** One round of eliminating pools, followed by a direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- c) By a mixed ranking system (Cadet World Championships)** One round of eliminating pools, followed by a direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final, based on the previous year Cadet World Championships and the current official FIE Junior ranking.
- d) By a mixed formula with 2 rounds of pools (Satellite competitions)** Two rounds of eliminating pools, followed by a direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- e) By direct elimination throughout. (The Masters)** A direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final.
- f) By direct elimination throughout. (The Olympics)** A direct elimination table to qualify 8 or 4 fencers for a direct elimination final, with a match for the third place.
- i) All formulas except the Olympics are run without a match for the third place.**

Moreover, according to the actual situations which usually happen in those competitions with few participants, we also propose the following sub-articles:

ii) Whenever in a competition from group (a), the number of fencers don't allow running a preliminary direct elimination table, the competition will be run without exemption.

In some other competitions, specially junior ones, we often face a problem that too many participating fencers have no ranking where some of them are very good at fencing, so in order to be just and fair we propose the following sub-article:

iii) Whenever in a competition the number of fencers without ranking exceed the number of fencers with ranking, the competition will be run with two rounds of preliminary pools.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not RC's matter

Proposal 11

ANG

t.63. 3. The 'flèche ending systematically in a corps à corps' referred to in this article must not be confused with the 'flèche resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent', which is considered as an act of **intentional brutality** at all three weapons and is punished as such (cf. t.87.2, t.120).

t.87. 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter.**



All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or antisporting behaviour are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Award of a hit which has not in fact been received

t.104. A competitor may have a **hit awarded against him** which he has not in fact received, either because he has crossed the rear limit of the piste (cf. t.27), or because he has committed an offence which has prevented his opponent fencing (a flèche attack which jostles the opponent, a corps à corps at foil or sabre, the use of the unarmed hand while fencing, etc.) (cf. t.96.2, t.114.3.a/b, t.116, t.117, t.118.1, t.120).

	First Group				
1.15	Jostling,	t.87.2	Yellow	Red	Red

Motivation: As you see in the above articles we have several phrases about jostling with different wording and different penalties. In order to simplify the rules and rewrite them as they are practically applied we propose to change all the red colored phrases to “flèche attack resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent” which practically deserves the penalty of the second group of offences and penalties.

Proposed articles:

- t.63.** 3. The ‘flèche ending systematically in a corps à corps’ referred to in this article must not be confused with the ‘flèche attack resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent’. ~~which is considered as an act of intentional brutality at all three weapons and is punished as such~~ (cf. t.87.2, t.120).
- t.87.** 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or antisporting behaviour are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Award of a hit which has not in fact been received

t.104. A competitor may have a **hit awarded against him** which he has not in fact received, either because he has crossed the rear limit of the piste (cf. t.27), or because he has committed an offence which has prevented his opponent fencing (a flèche attack resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent,–a corps à corps at foil or sabre, the use of the unarmed hand while fencing, etc.) (cf. t.96.2, t.114.3.a/b, t.116, t.117, t.118.1, t.120).

	First Group				
1.15	Jostling,	t.87.2	Yellow	Red	Red

	Second Group				
2.7	flèche attack resulting in a shock which jostles the opponent *	t.63, t.87.2, t.104	Red	Red	Red

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 12

ANG

- t.87.** 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or anti-sporting behaviour are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Motivation: As these two offences are the same in meaning, we propose to change both of them to the following phrase: “irregular fencing movements on the piste”

Proposed articles:

- t.87.** 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular fencing movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or antisporting behaviour are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence



occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

First Group					
1.15	disorderly fencing	t.87.2	Yellow	Red	Red
1.16	Irregular fencing movements on the piste * ; hits made with violence*, hits during or after falling *	t.87.2	Yellow	Red	Red

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: Not in favour (current rule is clear enough)

Proposal 13

ANG

t.87. 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or antisporting behaviour are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Second Group					
2.6	Dangerous, violent or vindictive action*, blow with guard or pommel *	t.87.2 ; t.103 ; t.105.1	Red	Red	Red

Motivation: As these two phrases are the same in meaning, we propose to change both of them to the following unique phrase: "blows struck with the guard or pommel"

Proposed articles:

t.87. 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard or pommel, hits made during or after a fall) or anti-sporting behavior are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Second Group					
2.6	Dangerous, violent or vindictive action*, blows struck with the guard or pommel *	t.87.2 ; t.103 ; t.105.1	Red	Red	Red

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: Not in favour (current rule is clear enough)

Proposal 14

ANG

Exclusion

t.105. 1. A competitor who, while fencing, commits certain dangerous, **violent or vindictive actions** against his opponent, or who does not **fence to his utmost ability**, or who **profits from a fraudulent agreement** with his opponent, may be excluded from the competition.

Second Group					
2.6	Dangerous, violent or vindictive action*, blow with guard or pommel *	t.87.2 ; t.103 ; t.105.1	Red	Red	Red

Motivation: As the phrase "Committing certain violent or vindictive actions against one's opponent" appears in t.105.1 and this article is referred to in offence 4.8 of the Forth Group of Offences and Penalties, we propose to omit this offence from the Second Group and add the following modified phrase to the Forth Group. "dangerous, violent or vindictive actions"

Proposed articles:

Exclusion

t.105. 1. A competitor who, while fencing, commits certain **dangerous**, violent or vindictive actions against his opponent, or who does not **fence to his utmost ability**, or who **profits from a fraudulent agreement** with his opponent, may be excluded from the competition.



Second Group					
2.6	Dangerous, violent or vindictive action* , blow with guard or pommel *	t.87.2 ; t.103 ; t.105.1	Red	Red	Red
Forth Group					
4.8	Deliberate brutality (dangerous, violent or vindictive actions)	t.105.1	Black		

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: Not in favour (current rule is clear enough)

Proposal 15

ANG

t.87. 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or **anti-sporting behaviour** are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Exclusion

t.105. 1. A competitor who, while fencing, commits certain **violent or vindictive actions** against his opponent, or who does not **fence to his utmost ability**, or who **profits from a fraudulent agreement** with his opponent, may be excluded from the competition.

Third Group				
3.6	Anti-sporting behaviour	t.87.2	Yellow	Black
Forth Group				
4.5	Offence against sportsmanship	t.87.2 ; t.105.1	Black	

Motivation:

1. As the two phrase of “**anti-sporting behavior**” and “**offence against sportsmanship**” are the same in meaning, we propose to omit offence 3.6 from the Third Group of the table Offences and Penalties and add “**any act against sportsmanship**” to t.105.1.
2. Referring to the new added sub-article **t.87.3.b** about throwing mask or other pieces of equipment, we propose to add t.87.3.b to the references of offence 4.5 of the Forth Group of the table Offences and Penalties.

Proposed articles:

t.87. 2. All bouts must preserve the **character of a courteous and frank encounter**. All **irregular actions** (flèche attack which finishes with a collision jostling the opponent, disorderly fencing, irregular movements on the piste, hits achieved with violence, blows struck with the guard, hits made during or after a fall) or **any act against sportsmanship** are strictly forbidden (cf. t.114–t.120). Should such an offence occur, any hit scored by the fencer at fault is annulled.

Exclusion

t.105. 1. A competitor who, while fencing, commits **any act against sportsmanship** such as **violent or vindictive actions** against his opponent, or who does not **fence to his utmost ability**, or who **profits from a fraudulent agreement** with his opponent, may be excluded from the competition.

Third Group				
3.6	Anti-sporting behaviour	t.87.2	Yellow	Black
Forth Group				
4.5	Offence Any act against sportsmanship	t.87.2/3.b ; t.105.1	Black	

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission:
Not in favour (current rule is clear enough)

Proposal 16



Award of a hit which has not in fact been received

t.104. A competitor may have a hit awarded against him which he has not in fact received, either because he has crossed the rear limit of the piste (cf. t.27), or because he has committed an offence which has prevented his opponent fencing (a flèche attack which jostles the opponent, a corps à corps at foil or sabre, the use of the unarmed hand while fencing, etc.) (cf. t.96.2, t.114.3.a/b, t.116, t.117, t.118.1, t.120).

Motivation: If a fencer crosses the rear limits of the piste is penalized by a hit against him (t.27). As this action is similar to the offences of the Second Group of the Table of Offences and Penalties, we propose to add this action to t.120.

Proposed articles:

	Second Group				
2.7	Crossing the rear limits of the piste*	t.27 ; t.104	Red	Red	Red

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: Not in favour (crossing the rear limit is not a offence)

Proposal 18

ANG

Motivation: According to Article t.45.1, in Epee and Sabre, a fencer has to appear on the piste with two regulation mask wires, so we propose to add the phrase – and two mask wires (one as a spare) – to Article t.86.

Proposed article:

t.86. 4. The fencers must arrive on the piste, to fence their bouts, with two weapons (one as a spare), and two bodywires (one as a spare) and two mask wires (one as a spare) which satisfy the regulations and are in perfect working order(cf. t.45.1, t.114, t.116, t.120).

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 19

ANG

Motivation: In order to:

1. increase competition atmosphere in team competitions,
2. reduce the time of the competitions,
3. prevent boring matches,
4. increase the attraction of the spectators, and
5. attract the media and increase the enjoyment of TV viewers.

We propose to add the following sub-article to o.44.8:

Proposed sub-article:

o.44 8. a) The winning team is that which first reaches the maximum score of 45 hits, or that which has scored the greatest number of hits after the expiry of regulation time.
b) If a team scores exceed its opponent's by 15 hits, the match will be stopped, and the team who has scored 15 more hits will be considered as the winner of that team match.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Italian Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 1

ANG

~~o.81. 1. a) The number of FIE A or B Grade referees (cf. t.35) that must accompany teams to junior A Grade competitions and Veteran World Championship is:~~

~~1–4 fencers No obligation to provide a referee
 5–9 fencers One referee~~



~~10 or more fencers Two referees~~

~~For junior A Grade competitions, the name(s) of the referee(s) (who must have an FIE category in the weapon of the competition for which they are entered) must be notified via the FIE website 7 days before the competition (midnight, Lausanne time).~~

~~b) Should a national federation not provide the required number of referees, a fine (cf o.86, table of financial penalties and fines) will be inflicted on it.~~

2 1. For **Open A-grade, Grand Prix and World Cup team competitions, junior A Grade competitions and Veteran World Championship** 8 referees proposed by the Refereeing Commission, will be designated by the Executive Committee and delegations will not have to provide any referees. The additional referees required (not less than 5) will be provided by the organising committee.

All the referees will be at the expense of the organisers who in return will keep the entry fees.

2 The referees for the World Cup competitions must be from the Continent where the competition is held.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission:

not in favour (recommendation to hand't over to the Confederations)

Proposal for the veterans

ANG

Motivation :

For a better comprehension we wish to summarize the matters that were discussed in Lausanne during the 2013 meeting of Rules Commission and in the meeting of the special working group for the revision of the proposals postponed at the 2013 Congress:

There is evidence that the present FIE rules are a real obstacle to candidatures for Veterans World Championships. As a matter of fact this category, still collecting numerous passionate fencers, is not so important in terms of technical level to generate wide communication, TV presence and to attract relevant contribution from public entities and sponsors. This implies a severe limitation of costs both for fencers and for organizers.

Based also on the experience achieved from the European Veterans activity, that is growing and that has no problem in finding countries available to organize their championships with limited expenses, the following criteria should be adopted to encourage the world Veterans activity by increasing the number of participants and limiting the cost to organize the event:

- No obligations to use wireless, plasma displays, transparent masks, etc.;
- Reduction in the number of FIE delegates;
- Change the obligation to bring referees into their appointment as follows: referees are selected partially by FIE Comex partially from the country of the Organizing Committee under the supervision the FIE Referee Commission, respecting a suitable mix of nationalities, in a sufficient quantity related to the number of fencers and of available pistes, Each participant shall pay a specific fee to cover the cost of referees.

The decision to include team competitions, after the tests in Krems and Varna implies the definition of a formula, that in my opinion should be referred to the European system, that is minimum total age of the three component of the team (180/190 years for men and 165/175 years for women) and 9 single matches instead of a relay, for a better balance of the matches.

The above implies changes to:

- Organization Rules – chapter 8;
- Administrative rules – art. 6.2;
- Handbook of regulations – art.1.2.

Suggested amendments:

Chapter 8. Veterans World Championships

o.88 Referees

~~Participating countries must notify at least one month in advance whether they will either bring the required number of referees or pay the penalty, in order to allow enough time to the organizers to recruit other referees.~~



1. Refereeing Veteran World Championships is carried out by referees appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE, selected by the Refereeing Commission on indication of the Organizing Committee. Fifteen of them shall be of at least eight different nationalities, being no more than two of each nationality; the other necessary referees shall be from the organizing country. In case of unavailability of sufficient national referees, they will be chosen from nearby countries.
2. Referees' travel and board and lodging costs are paid by the organising committee who in return will receive all the entry fees, as stated in art. 6.2 of Administration Rules.
3. Referees must attend the refereeing meeting that takes place the day before the World Championships.

o.89 Invitations for international officials

1. Any proposed candidature for organising the World Championships must be studied at the venue concerned by a delegate designated by the Executive Committee, at the invitation of the candidate federation.
 2. The organising committee of the World Championships, who will receive all the entry fees from the participating delegations, must, at their own expense, invite the following international officials (tourist-class return air fare, accommodation and daily allowances):
 - a) The President of the FIE or his representative, who presides over the World Championships and, in particular, controls the smooth running of the Directoire Technique.
 - b) ~~Three~~ **One member**s of the Directoire Technique appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE; ~~one of whom must belong to the~~ **the other ones appointed by the organising country.**
 - c) One member of the SEMI Committee, appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE.
 - d) One member of the Refereeing Committee, appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE.
 - e) One member of the Medical Committee, appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE.
- These official shall be preferably selected from the same Zone of the Championships.**

Chapter 8.1

Individual Championships

o.90 Composition of the pools

When drawing the pools, the Directoire Technique will take into account the following orders of strength:

1. The 32 highest ranked in the previous year's Championships, irrespective of age category changes;
2. The 32 highest ranked in the last Zone championships, irrespective of age Category **changes**, if available; **the order of Zones is based on the number of athletes of each category present in the last World Championship from each Zone.**
3. All other fencers in order of age, starting from the youngest.

The allocation of fencers in the pools must be made in such a way as to place fencers of the same nationality, as far as possible, in different pools, by moving them down the ranking as necessary.

If in any category there are fewer than ten competitors, the competition is held as a poule unique.

If in any category there are fewer than 6 competitors, they will fence with an adjacent age category. A separate ranking for this category will be extrapolated from the other category at the end of the competition.

If in any category there is only one competitor, the event in that category is cancelled. **Still he/she can fence in the lower adjacent age category.**

o.91 Elimination

No fencer is eliminated after the poules, all enter into direct elimination.

o.92 Duration of the matches

The direct elimination bouts are for 10 hits or end when two periods of three minutes, with one minute rest between the periods, have passed. As an exception, at sabre, the first period ends either at the expiry of the three minutes or when one of the fencers has scored five hits.

Chapter 8.2

Team Championships

o.93. Participation

Each country can enter one team per sex and per weapon, thus giving place to 6 competitions. Each team is composed by three fencer, plus up to two reserves. In each moment the total minimum aggregate age of the component fencers must be 190 years for men teams and 175 years for women teams and at least two categories must be represented in any match. Substitution of reserves (only one per match) must maintain compliance with the aggregate age requirement. The names of team members of each weapon must be submitted to D.T. within the last day of the individual championships of said weapon .



o.94 Formula of the competition

The competition is run by integral direct elimination (*alt. a tour of poules of three or four teams followed by direct elimination*) and the ranking is determined by the ranking of the last team championship. In the case that there has been no championship for a weapon in the previous year, the position in d.e. table shall be determined by drawing (*alt. ranking is determined by the ranking of the three best fencers of each team in the last world championship*).

The seeding of the teams is established on the ranking of three best fencer classified in the weapon, as resulting from the individual championships. Fencers that did not participate to the individual championships shall have the lowest ranking.

The losers of the semi-finals fence for the Bronze medal.

In the case the formula with poules is chosen

The formula according to the number of teams is:

- 3 to 5 teams: one single poule
- 6 to 8 teams: two poules of 3 or 4 teams, followed by tableau of 4 and classification match for 3 & 4, 5 & 6 and 7&8.
- From 9 to 15 or from 16 up teams: one shift of poules of 3 or 4 teams, followed by direct elimination as above for the first 8 (or 16) teams after the poules and classification for the other teams from the ranking after poules.

Each fencer meets all the fencers of the other team for a total of nine 5-hit bouts, with the duration of three minutes plus priority, if necessary. The sequence is as provided in par. o. 44.3. The position of the teams on the score is determined by drawing. The winning team is the first one that reaches five individual victories.

The matches end when a team reaches five individual victories, but they must be completed in the poules. (*alt. all the matches end when a team reaches five individual victories*).

Each team may replace a fencer before the beginning of a bout. There can be only one replacement per match, except in case of injury certified by a doctor where substitution may be immediate. A fencer substituted because of an injury cannot fence in the following matches.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: RC is following the opinion of Veterans Council

Russian Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 1

ANG

Motivation: Increase of the saber bout duration; spectators will get some additional time for analysis and understanding of the things happening on the piste. Fencers will get an additional tactical maneuver.

t.27

1. Should a competitor cross the rear limit of the piste completely — i.e. with both feet — a hit will be scored against him.

2. An athlete has the right to cross the rear limit one time with both feet in saber fencing. The referee will call him back to the piste in this case so that the forefoot would be on the two meter distance from the rear limit of the piste. The hit will be scored against the fencer if he will cross the rear limit right after coming to «en garde» position at the two meters distance. The fencer gets the right to cross the rear limit after the referee's decision to score the hit to any side.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Proposal 2

ANG

Motivation: Decrease the number of simultaneous attacks in saber fencing. Increase the number of weapon actions in order to make matches more spectacular.

t.17.2. In foil and epee fencing the Referee places each of the two competitors in such a way that the front foot of each is 2 meters from the center line of the piste (that is, behind the 'on-guard' lines).

t.17.3. In saber fencing The Referee places each of the two competitors in such a way that the back foot of each is 2 meters from the center line of the piste (that is, in front of the 'on-guard' lines).



Swiss Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 1

ANG

Motivation:

- To dislodge the nearly immobile rankings of the top 8 teams, which currently lead teams to fencing the same opponents very frequently.
- To allow underdog nations a chance to fence on the main stage
- 400 € for a minimum of 2 pool matches would be more attractive

B) JUNIOR AND SENIOR WORLD CUP TEAM COMPETITION Mixed format – one round of pools to determine ranking, followed by a main table of 16 for a direct elimination final.

o.45.

~~Apart from the following aspects, the competition is run in accordance with rules laid down for the team events in the juniors and Open World Championships.~~

This format would apply to both senior and junior team World Cups.

o.46.

~~1. All places in the table down to 16th place will be fought for. From 17th place onwards teams will be classified, within each round of the table, according to their initial seeding in the table.~~

~~2. If a team does not begin a match they will be disqualified from the competition and thus will not receive any World Team Cup points, unless this is because of an injury or illness, duly attested by the duty doctor.~~

1. Competitions would take place in one day.

2. The competition comprises of one round of pools of 3 teams to determine ranking, followed by a complete direct elimination table of 16 teams.

3. Among the teams present, 2, 3 or 4 of the highest FIE-ranked teams (cf. table of exempted teams) are to be exempted from the round of pools and entered directly into the main table.

4. After the round of pools, the highest ranked teams qualify to complete the table of 16 and take positions 3 through 16, respectively, or 4 through 16 or 5 through 16, in accordance with the number of exempted teams at the start of the competition.

5. The 2, 3 or 4 exempted teams will be assigned positions 1 and 2; 1, 2 and 3; or 1, 2, 3, 4 in the direct elimination table by drawing of lots. The drawing of lots is to occur after the round of pools.

6. Every position from 1 to 16 are to be fought for. From 17th place onwards, teams will be ranked according to their pool results and will receive the number of points previously determined by the FIE.

7. If a team does not begin a bout, it will be disqualified from the competition and will not receive any Team World Cup points, except in the case of injury or illness as determined by a medical professional.

o.47.

~~1. The first four teams will be placed in the direct elimination table according to the current official team ranking of the FIE (cf. o.86); the remaining ranked teams will be placed in the table by drawing of lots in pairs. The teams that are not classified will occupy the last places in the table, and will be separated by drawing of lots.~~

~~2. The table will be drawn up~~ The pools will be drawn up based on the ranking of the teams entered, at the latest one hour after the quarter finals of the individual event.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not RC's matter

Swedish Fencing Federation Proposals

Proposal 1

ANG



Realignment of the rules concerning the management of competitions (o.56-o.63, t.90, t.97, t.123)

Motivation: Originally, the Directoire technique handled all sport aspects of the competition organisation in fencing. With the development of our sport, specialist areas have come to take over parts of this responsibility (delegates from the Refereeing Commission, the SEMI Commission, the Medical Commission). This has been a gradual development and all aspects of it have not been fully reflected in the rules. The aim of this proposal is to further clarify the different tasks and responsibilities of the different functions.

~~TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT OF~~ DIRECTOIRE TECHNIQUE AND FIE DELEGATES AT OFFICIAL FIE COMPETITIONS

o.56.

a) Apart from the specific areas of competence which are the responsibility of the other FIE technical delegates hereafter officials, the technical management of the official competitions of the FIE is entrusted to a Directoire Technique, ~~whose composition and nomination must respect the specific rules for each competition.~~

b) The members of the Directoire Technique and the other official delegates of the FIE cannot act in any other capacity at the meeting, such as team captain, official delegate of their federation, referee, competitor, etc. ~~(except at World Cup competitions).~~

c) The Directoire Technique and the official delegates of the FIE must always attend events from beginning to end, so as to solve any problem which could arise and thus ensure that the event continues to run smoothly.

d) All the decisions of the Directoire Technique and the official delegates of the FIE must be displayed sufficiently in advance on a clearly visible notice-board to be consulted by the fencers and officials. In principle, fencers and officials are informed by their heads of delegations or captains, and they may not make any complaint against changes in the timetable or any other matter about which information has been displayed within the stipulated time limits.

o.57

Nomination of the Directoire Technique

The Directoire Technique is composed of people who have the experience and competence to organise competitions.

1. World Championships and Olympic Games.

a) The ~~technical organisation is undertaken by a~~ Directoire Technique is composed of six members of different nationalities, one of whom must represent the organising country.

b) The President of the Directoire Technique and the other members are appointed by the Executive Committee of the FIE.

~~c) Should there be a tied vote among the members of the Directoire Technique, the President of the Directoire Technique has the casting vote.~~

2. World Cup competitions.

a) The Directoire Technique is composed of three qualified persons from the organising country or invited by it.

~~b) For Grand Prix competitions, the Supervisor of the competition, designated by the Executive Committee of the FIE, is also the President of the Directoire Technique.~~

3. Veterans World Championships

a) The Directoire Technique ~~for Veterans World Championships~~ is composed of three members of different nationalities, one of whom must represent the organising country.

Functions of the Directoire Technique

o.58

~~1.~~ The functions of the Directoire Technique include the **strict but complete organisation** of the different events, **except the responsibilities of the other delegates of the FIE (cf o.61, o.62, o.63).** ~~and It has~~ the obligation to see that the Rules are adhered to; ~~they and~~ cannot decide on any departure from the Rules except when circumstances arise in which it is absolutely impossible to apply them.

~~2.~~ The Directoire Technique is responsible for the **technical organisation** of the competitions and for ensuring their smooth running.



~~3-~~ Hence:

- a) It ~~checks technical installations~~ ensures the co-ordination between the Organising Committee, the Directoire Technique, the official delegates of the FIE and the other functions of the FIE;
- b) It checks the **entries**;
- c) It draws up the **pool sheets** and **direct elimination table**;
- d) It allocates ~~referees as proposed by the Refereeing delegate, as well as~~ the pistes;
- e) It supervises the **progress** of the event(s);
- f) ~~It examines complaints and resolves them;~~
- g) It checks **results** with the help of the Organising Committee;
- ~~h) g)~~ It prepares the **subsequent events** sufficiently in advance to be able to warn the fencers, officials and judges;
- ~~i) h)~~ It supervises the **dissemination** of results.

~~4.~~ Furthermore the Directoire Technique **is a collective entity which** has **disciplinary responsibility** at competitions; Article t.97 defines the extent of its authority.

In the event of a tied vote within the Directoire Technique, the vote of **the Directoire Technique President** takes precedence.

o.59

~~The members of the Directoire Technique cannot act in any other capacity at the meeting, such as team captain, official delegate of their federation, referee, competitor, etc. (except at World Cup competitions).~~

Designation of refereeing, SEMI, and medical delegates

Refereeing delegates must be members of the FIE Refereeing Commission. SEMI delegates must be members of the FIE SEMI Commission. Medical delegates must be a member of the FIE Medical Commission.

1. World Championships and Olympic Games 1.

A chief refereeing delegate and 5 more refereeing delegates; a chief SEMI delegate and 2 more SEMI delegates; and 2 medical delegates are appointed by the FIE Executive Committee following the recommendations of the respective commissions in question.

2. World Cup

One refereeing delegate is appointed by the Executive Committee following the recommendation of the Refereeing Commission.

3. Veteran's World appointed by the FIE Executive Committee following the recommendations of the respective commissions in question.

o.60

~~1. The Directoire Technique must always attend events from beginning to end, so as to solve any problem which could arise and thus ensure that the event continues to run smoothly.~~

~~2. All the decisions of the Directoire Technique must be displayed sufficiently in advance on a clearly visible notice board to be consulted by the fencers and officials. In principle, fencers and officials are informed by their heads of delegations or captains, and they may not make any complaint against changes in the timetable or any other matter about which information has been displayed within the stipulated time limits.~~

Functions of the refereeing delegates

1. The functions of the Directoire Technique include the **strict** and complete **organisation** of the refereeing of events. They have the obligation to see that the Rules are adhered to; and cannot decide on any departure from the Rules except when circumstances arise in which it is absolutely impossible to apply them.

2 Refereeing delegates are tasked with organising the refereeing aspect of events and ensuring that they run smoothly.

3. Hence:

- a) They organise the referee's meeting on the eve of the championships or event
- b) They establish the list of referees on the eve of each competition
- c) They observe the referees at work and make selections as mandated in articles t.37, t.38 and t.39.
- d) They review protests and offer solutions as mandated in article t.122.

4. For questions concerning the rules during FIE competitions (including the World Championships and Olympic Games), the Refereeing Commission delegate(s) alone are competent to judge a referee's decision from the beginning to the end of the bout.

5. Furthermore, a refereeing delegate is an entity who has **disciplinary responsibility** at competitions; Article t.97 defines the extent of his or her authority.

o.61



~~For the World Championships and the Olympic Games the Directoire Technique must meet at least 24 hours before the first event to draw the first round of the first event.~~

SEMI and medical delegate duties

1. Among the duties of the SEMI delegate is the strict but complete organisation of weapon control and installations as well as the obligation of ensuring the application of the Rules, which they must also diligently respect themselves except in cases where its application proves absolutely impossible.

1. The functions of the SEMI delegate include the **strict** and complete **organisation** of the weapon control and the installations of events. They have the obligation to see that the Rules are adhered to; and cannot decide on any departure from the Rules except when circumstances arise in which it is absolutely impossible to apply them

2. SEMI delegates are tasked with the aspects of equipment and installations in the organisation of events and ensuring that they run smoothly.

3. Hence, SEMI delegates:

a) organise weapons control and oversee its operations

b) verify the technical installations and homologation of the various equipment

c) assist referees with material problems during matches.

4. Among the duties of the medical delegate is the strict and complete management of the medical aspect as well as the obligation of ensuring the application of the Rules, which they must also diligently respect themselves except in cases where its application proves absolutely impossible.

4. The functions of the medical delegates include the **strict** and complete **organisation** of the medical aspect. They have the obligation to see that the Rules are adhered to; and cannot decide on any departure from the Rules except when circumstances arise in which it is absolutely impossible to apply them

3. Hence, medical delegates:

a) verify the medical services and oversee their operation

b) supervise the anti-doping control

c) assist the referees in case of an injury of an athlete

o.62

SUPERVISOR

For questions concerning the rules during FIE competitions (including the World Championships and Olympic Games), the Refereeing Commission delegate(s) alone are competent to judge a referee's decision from the beginning to the end of the bout.

At competitions where there is no Refereeing Commission delegate, **SEMI Commission delegate or Medical Commission delegate**, it is the Supervisor who fulfils these functions (cf o.77).

The Supervisor settles any other disputes that might arise in Category A and Grand Prix competitions.

~~It is the responsibility of the Bureau of the FIE or of its designated representative to settle any other disputes which arise at World Championships.~~

SUPERVISION BY THE FIE

o.63.

With the aim of ensuring that the rules are observed at **the World Championships and Olympic Games**, the President and the members of the Central Office of the FIE have the right to attend all meetings of the Directoire Technique **and the official delegates of the FIE**. The Directoire Technique is obliged to give them notice of such meetings.

~~It is the responsibility of the Bureau of the FIE or of its designated representative to settle any other disputes which arise at World Championships.~~

The team captain

t.90.

~~4.~~ In team events, only the team captain has the right to be to approach the Referee and/or the **refereeing delegates** ~~Directoire Technique~~ in order to decide with them any technical matters or to register protests. The procedure for claims is set out in articles t.122 and t.123.

The Directoire Technique at official FIE competitions (cf. o.56–o.62)

t.97

1. The Directoire Technique, **the refereeing delegates or the supervisor have** ~~has~~ jurisdiction over all the fencers who take part in or are present at a competition which ~~it is they are~~ running.

2. When necessary ~~it they~~ can intervene on ~~its their~~ own initiative in all disputes.

3. ~~It is They are~~ also responsible for maintaining order and discipline during competitions, and may use the penalties specified in the Rules.

4. ~~It sends~~ **The Directoire Technique is responsible for sending directly** ~~direct~~ to the Central Office of the FIE announcements of disciplinary penalties pronounced during the competitions, as well as any requests for censure, suspension, extension of



penalty or permanent suspension, and requests for ultimate appeals.

5. The Directoire Technique activates any penalty imposed against which no appeal is possible or which is not subject to suspension (cf. t.95).

6. The disciplinary decisions of the Directoire Technique, the refereeing delegates or the supervisor that they take spontaneously or in their own right (as the first level of authority) are subject to appeal to the Disciplinary Commission of the FIE.

7. All the decisions of the Directoire Technique, the refereeing delegates or the supervisor are immediately enforceable. No appeal against a decision can suspend that decision during the competition.

Other protests and appeals

t.123.

1. Complaints and protests with no relation to a referee's decision must be made in writing without delay; they must be addressed to the Directoire Technique.

2) If a complaint or protest contests a Directoire Technique or official FIE delegate decision, it should be addressed to the FIE Central Office.

~~2. Protests over the composition of the first round at World Championships and the Olympic Games may only be made up to 7 p.m. of the day before the event (cf. o.10).~~

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

PROPOSALS FOR THE AMENDMENTS OF THE STATUTES

CONGRES 2014

Submitted to the Refereeing Commission

Proposals of the Executive Committee

Proposal 13

ANG

Motivation : In order to enforce the current rule, as in the previous proposal.

4.4.2 (4th paragraph)

Being a member of the Refereeing Commission is incompatible with the national roles of technical director, referee, chief of delegation and team captain. Once elected, the Refereeing Commission member shall send to the FIE head-office a letter of commitment signed by both the Executive Committee member and his/her national federation.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 17

ANG

Motivation : To be in line with articles on Commissions and Councils.

5.5.3

The Executive Committee outlines the work of the Commissions and the Congresses and monitors the work of the Commissions. To this end, it has to gather information by every possible means on the views, tendencies, and desires of the affiliated groups.

It decides the date and the place of the meetings of Congresses, commissions and councils. It may convene an Extraordinary Congress. It establishes and approves the agenda of the Congress.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor (if necessary on base of the recommendation of RC)

Proposal 24

ANG

Motivation: Clarification of the current text.



6.1 THE COMMISSIONS

FIE Commissions are technical bodies. The Executive committee consults the Commissions about all necessary issues.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal 25

ANG

Motivation: In order to clarify the responsibility of the members of the Executive Committee delegated in Commissions and also to open the meetings to experts who propose their services to the FIE.

6.2.3

The Executive Committee will delegate one of its members to be responsible to ~~raise~~ monitor and coordinate the work of the commissions (cf. 5.5.7).

The President of the FIE may, ~~as agreed with the Executive Committee and~~ after consultation with the ~~President of the Commission~~ Secretary General and CEO, have relevant experts involved in the commissions' work.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Proposal 26

ANG

Motivation: In order to clarify the rules regarding the expenses and also to be in line with article 6.2.3.

6.4.3

Commissions must admit to their meetings the persons, or their representatives, responsible for the proposals submitted to the Congress and placed on its agenda by the Executive Committee of the F.I.E. The attendance of such a person, or his representative, is restricted to when the proposal in question is being discussed. The expense for such attendance is borne by the persons, or their representatives, responsible for the proposals. Commissions must also admit to their meetings any person designated by the President of the FIE.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Proposal 28

ANG

Motivation: The reports are first studied by the Executive Committee which then determines its final opinion based on the recommendations of the Commissions.

6.5.3

The Refereeing Commission

It presents to the Executive Committee a report which will be submitted to the Congress on all the proposals submitted to it.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor

Proposal From FIE Women & Fencing Council

Proposal 1

ANG

That the minimum representation of men and women on the FIE Executive Committee and all FIE Commissions should be raised from at least 20% to at least 30%.

Motivation: In 2007 the Olympic Charter was amended to include, for the first time in history, the following reference:

"The IOC encourages and supports the promotion of women in sport at all levels and in all structures, with a view to implementing the principle of equality of men and women."

Rule 2, paragraph 7, Olympic Charter in force as from 07.07.2007

The Olympic Movement and the sports community at large have undertaken initiatives to allow broader participation by women in sport. More sports and disciplines have been opened up to women at all levels and in most countries of the world. In the last



20 years especially, the IOC in cooperation with the respective International Sports Federations (IFs) and the Organising Committees for the Olympic Games (OCOGs) have pressed for the women's programme at the Olympic Games to be enlarged. This development has been further reinforced by the IOC's decision that all sports seeking inclusion in the programme must include women's events. The IOC also pressed for women's deeper inclusion and involvement at leadership levels in sport in 1981, under the initiative of former President Juan Antonio Samaranch, who wanted to have women co-opted as IOC members. As a result, 24 members out of 107 are women (22.4%).

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) has publically committed itself to increasing the number of women occupying leadership positions within the Olympic Movement, including in the IOC itself.

Eleven years ago, in 2003, the FIE Congress took the decision to require minimum representation of 20% of men and women on the FIE Executive Committee and all FIE Commissions.

Since 2003, the membership of all Commissions (apart from the Medical Commission and the Executive Committee which exceed the 20% requirement by one) still has not exceeded the 20% requirement in regard to female members. Additionally, if we want to further encourage growth in fencing in terms of athletes, supporting the growth for women in leadership roles is imperative. For this reason, many FIE member federations believe that it is time to lift the minimum requirement for representation of either gender to 30%. This policy change reflects the vision and desire of FIE leadership to increase the participation of women in all areas of the sport and to ensure that the leadership of the FIE is increasingly representative of the entire fencing family and society in general.

Proposed changes to FIE Statutes (with changes shown in red and blue)

4.3.4: In order to secure the principle of equal treatment, women and men must be represented in overall view by at least ~~3~~20% of the elected members of the Executive Committee. If the 15 candidates who have obtained the most votes do not include at least ~~5~~3 persons of each gender, the Executive Committee will consist of the ~~5~~3 candidates for the Executive Committee who have obtained the greatest number of votes of the gender not otherwise being so represented and the ~~10~~2 candidates of the other gender who have obtained the greatest number of votes.

If there are less than ~~5~~3 candidates of either gender, that gender will be represented by the number of candidates put forward and the remainder of the Executive Committee will consist of the candidates of the other gender who have obtained the most votes.

4.4.4: If the 10 first members elected do not include ~~two~~ ~~three~~ members of each gender, the Commission will consist of the first ~~7~~8 members elected and the ~~two~~ ~~three~~ members of the other gender who are candidates for the commission and who have obtained the greatest number of votes.

If no candidate of either gender has offered herself/himself as candidates, the 10 candidates of different nationalities with the greatest number of votes are elected.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: not in favor

Italian Fencing Federation Proposal

Proposal 2

ANG

Motivation :

This proposals aim to adapt the number of members of the Commission to the number of the COMEX members, which has been increased up to 15 and also to adapt the number of the members of the Commissions to the increased number of Federations affiliated.

6.2.1 Permanent commissions, except the Athletes Commission.

a) Each permanent commission except the Athletes Commission is made up of ~~ten~~ ~~eleven~~ voting members elected by the Congress.

Opinion of the Refereeing Commission: in favor



				number	days / project	persons	hotel / day	pocket money / person	food / day	stay total	travelcosts / person	travel total	total (EURO)
Activities	Date	place	Remarks										
Seminar referees A/B	11/12 Oct 2014	TBB./ GER	20 best foil referees all continents	1	4	20	55	80	30	13.200	600	12.000	25.200
			RC:El Motawakel /Mamedov/Janka	1	4	3	110	80	30	2.640	500	1.500	4.140
	11/12 Oct 2014	Rio / BRA	20 referees all weapons PAM	1	4	20	55	80	30	13.200	500	10.000	23.200
			RC: Alvarez / Ferjani / Siesto	1	4	3	110	80	30	2.640	600	1.800	4.440
	n.n.	n.n.	on request NF or regions							0		0	0
Exams/P-observations	19/21-2-2015	Maribor/SLO	before EU-Cont Champs J/C + P-observ.							0		0	0
			RC: Knysch / Ferjani (Knysch Deleg CH)	1	4	2	110	80	30	1.760	600	1.200	2.960
	3/5 Mars 2015	Emirats	before AS-Champs J/C + P-observ.							0		0	0
			RC: EIMotawakel / Mamedov (EIMot Del RC)	1	4	2	110	80	30	1.760	600	1.200	2.960
	5.-7.11.2014	San Salvador	before JWC ME + P-observ epee							0		0	0
			Knysch / Alvarez (Knysch Del RC)	1	4	2	110	80	30	1.760	600	1.200	2.960
	16/18 June 2015	Tunis	before AF-Champs							0		0	0
			RC: Ferjani / Gutsait	1	4	2	110	80	30	1.760	600	1.200	2.960
Delegates RC to FIE events	see calender		WC/GP/WCH/WCH-JC/CHZ/CHZ-JC										0
Meetings RC	12/13 July 2014	Kazan		1	1	10	100	80	30	2.100	600	6.000	8.100
	4/5 Oct 2014	Tunis		1	3	10	100	80	30	6.300	600	6.000	12.300
	second quarter 2015	Lausanne		1	3	10	100	80	30	6.300	600	6.000	12.300
Materials	third quartal 2014		video (demonstration foil)	1									2.500
Referees-Motivation-System			Award Best Referee 2014-15										30.000
			Compensation										175.600
Debit total													EURO 309.620
													CHF 371.544
Budget FIE 2014/15 (seminars/exams)													CHF 300.000

Activities / quota Continents 2014

	EU	AM	AS	AF	
Referees-licenses FIE 2014	387	100	146	49	682
%	57	15	21	7	
Athlets-licenses 2013-14	3.718	1.143	1.216	313	6.390
%	58	18	19	5	
Medaillen OG 2012	17	2	10	1	30
%	57	7	33	3	
Medaillen WCH 2013	35	4	3	0	42
%	83	10	7	0	
Medaillen WCH JC 2014	39	18	9	0	66
%	59	27	14	0	
	314	68	89	15	486
performance %	65	14	18	3	
Designations refs Masterlist 2014-15	66	24	31	13	134
%	49	18	23	10	
Designations refs CHM 2013	17	6	8	3	34
%	50	17,6	23,5	8,8	
Designation refs CHMJC 2014	19	5	8	3	35
%	54,3	14,3	22,9	8,6	
	154	50	70	27	
designations %	51	17	23	9	

Exams	date	place	candidates			candidates			candidates			candidates total	successful total	successful %	new B licenses	observers
			foil	P	B	epee	P	B	saber	P	B					
	14/16-8-2013	San Jose/GUA	18	6	4	23	7	11	16	5	6	57	39	68		Alvarez/Ferjani
	13/15-11-2013	Bratislava/SVQ	18	3	11	27	7	18	17	4	9	62	52	84		Dakova/Siesto
	25/27-2-2014	Jerusalem/ISR	22	6	11	23	9	11	15	4	6	60	47	78		Gutsait/Knysch
	4/6-3-2014	Amman/JOR	26	11	4	23	10	3	15	6	2	64	36	56		EIMotawakel/Ferjani
	17/19-6-2014	Cairo/EGY	9	7	2	10	1	8	8	0	6	27	24	89		Knysch/Janka
			93		32	106		51	71		29	270	198	73	112	
P-observation	16/17-11-2013	Bratislava/SVQ	3		3	7		7	0		0	10	10	100		Dakova/Siesto
	4/5-1-2014	Udine/ITA	1		1	1		1	2		2	4	4	100		Ferjani/Siesto
	4/6-2-2014	GuatemalaCity/GUA	8		8	6		6	3		3	17	17	100		Dakova/Alvarez
	28-2/2-3-2014	Jerusalem/ISR	6		4	9		6	5		3	20	13	65		Gutsait/Knysch
	7/16-3-2014	Amman/JOR	18		16	19		19	11		11	48	46	96		EIMotawakel/Ferjani
			36			42			21			99	90		90	

202

Remarks: incohes FIE examens fee (60 €) **16.200** (plus separte P-candidats)
 expenses exams resp P-observations in Jerusalem, Amman and Guatemala City was connected witch the Continental Jun Champs. Host contry wave taken the expenses for on delegue wich was same time one of the examiners.
 Finally the exams was made with a less financial expenditure.

Materlist 2014/15

Proposal RC 12.7.2014,

21-7-14 cc Jie

				21-7-14 cc Jie																	
				WC WE Legnano 24 / 25-10-14	WC WE Bern 24/25-10-14	WC WE Xuzou 14 / 15-11-14	WC WE Tallin 14 / 15-11-14	GP ME Doha 6 / 7-12-14	GP WE Doha 6 / 7-12-14	WC ME Heidenheim 22- / 23-1-15	WC WE Barcelona 23 / 24-1-15	WC WE Buenos Aires 13 / 14-2-15	WC ME Vancouver 13 / 14-2-15	GP WE Budapest 21 / 22-3-15	GP ME StMaur 21 / 22-3-15	WC WE Johannesburg 1 / 2 5-15	WC ME Paris 1 / 2-5-15	GP WE Rio de Jan 23 / 24-5-15	GP ME Rio de Jan 23 / 24-5-15	total	
Epee				SF	CJ	CK	SF	LA	IK												
Res				CJ	VG	IK	MS	SF	ME												
EU	Leitner-Czar	Barbara	F AUT	B B	1															1	
25	Bastyns	Oliver	BEL	B B				1												1	
	Petkova	Lazarina	F BUL	B B																0	
	Paghiev	Mihail	MDA	B B B																0	
	Borosak	Mirna	F CRO	B B	R															0	
	Acevedo	Pablo	ESP	B B B				R												0	
	Piatko	Andreas	FRA	B A		1														1	
	Haynes	Mattews	GBR	B B			1													1	
	Sachwasdse	David	GEO	B B B				1												1	
	Peikrshvili	Irina	F GEO	B B	1															1	
	Henkelmann	Matthias	GER	B B			1		R											1	
	Gerosideris	Elefterios	GRE	B B	1															1	
	Hatzopoulos	Elefterios	GRE	B B			R													0	
	Deli	Dora	F HUN	B B B	1															1	
	Ranza	Guliano	ITA	B A B		1			1											2	
	Gross	Gregory	ISR	B B B		1														1	
	Borsodi	Andreas	NOR	B B				1												1	
	Feoktistov	Valerian	RUS	B B		1														1	
	Roman	Zoltan	SRB	B B				1												1	
	Civerio	Ambre	F SUI	B B				1												1	
	Kralik	David	SVO	B B				1												1	
	Hellström	Max	SWE	B B		R														0	
	Kovrlja	Ana	F SRB	B B																0	
	Palabiyk	Cihan	TUR	B B		1														1	
	Mazur	Jury	UKR	B B	1															1	
AS	Backer	David	AUS	B B		1														1	
14	Wong	Kancao	HKG	B B			1													1	
	Cheng	Gerald	HKG	B B B		R			1											1	
	Poursalman	Alireza	IRN	B B B	1		R													1	
	Vesali	Reza	IRN	B B B					1											1	
	Fallah	Alaa	IRQ	B B B				1	1											2	
	Azuma	Nobuki	JAP	B B B			1		1											2	
	Hong	Sun Yeong	KOR	B B			1		R											1	
	Rashed	Alshamali	KUW	B B B					1											1	
	Fuenzalida	Richard	PHI	B B B	R		1													1	
	Leong	Denis	SIN	B B					1											1	
	Phimenkao	Nuanchan	F THA	P B B					1											1	
	Kuweimil	Abdull	KSA	B B				R	1											1	
	Pham	Anh Tuan	VIE	B B B					R											0	
AM	Trois	Regis	BRA	B A B					1											1	
11	Schneider	Arno	BRA	B B		1														1	
	Yamashita	Rober	BRA	B B B		R														0	
	Rodriguez	Ana	F BRA	B B			R													0	
	Ivancovic	Michael	CAN	B B B	1															1	
	Belmonte	Manuel	CAN	B A B			1													1	
	Rios	Juan	MEX	B B B					1											1	
	Jacobsen	Tylor	USA	P B					R											0	
	Badawi	Amgard	USA	B B		R														0	
	Liendo	Juan	VEN	B A B					1											1	
	Turiace	Sergio	ARG	B B B					R											0	
AF	El Naggar	Bassel	EGY	B B B																0	
8	Samir	Ahmed	EGY	B B			1		1											2	
	Ndaye	Papa	SEN	B B				R												0	
	Kovrishnykh	Andre	RSA	B B B					1											1	
	Nasrallah	Rachid	MAR	B B		R			1											1	
	Zouari	Moh. Hassan	TUN	B B		1		R												1	
	Ben Chaaeen	Iheb	TUN	B B		1			R											1	
	Besbes	Hela	F TUN	B B		R														0	
						8	8	8	8	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

SF=S.Ferjani, MS=M.Siesto, IM.=I.Mamedov, CJ=C.Janka, VG=V.Gutsait, ID.=I.Dakova, IK=I.Knysch, LA=L.Alvarez, CK=C.Kim, ME=M.EIMotawakel

Masterlist 2014/15

Proposal RC 12. 7.2014

17-7-14 cc Jie

Saber	RC	LA	MS	VG	MS	VG	ME	WC WE Margartha Island 1 / 2-11	WC MS Dakar 1 / 2-11-14	WC WS Orleans 21 / 22-11-14	WC MS Budapes 21 / 22-11-14	GP MS NY 13 / 14 12-14	GP WS NY 13 / 14 12-14	WC MS Padua 31 / 1-2-15	WC WS Athen 31 / 1-2-15	WC MS Warschau 21 / 22-2-15	WC WS Gand 21 / 22-1-215	GP MS Seoul 28 / 29-3-15	GP WS Seoul 28 / 29-3-15	WC MS Madrid 2 / 3-15-15	WC WS Tianjin 2 / 3-15-15	GP MS Moscou 30 / 31-5-15	GP WS Moscou 30 / 31-5-15	total	
																									Res
EU Valiev	Fikrat	AZE	B	B	B																				
17 Milentschew	Vassil	BUL	B	B	B																				
Vallejo	Guillermo	ESP	B	B	B																				
Vasques	Daniel	ESP	B	B	B																				
Cassares	Ignazio	ESP	B	B	B																				
Bertier	Anna Laure	F FRA			B																				
Bock	Benedikt	GER	P	B	B																				
Schiffers	Alexander	GER	B	B	B																				
Douvis	Andreas	GRE	B	B	B																				
Kaposvary	Zsolt	HUN	B	B	B																				
Kosa	Miklos	HUN	B	B	B																				
Martlotti	Luigi	ITA	B	B	B																				
Bucca	Emanuele	ITA	B	B	B																				
Florea	Marius	ROU	B	B	B																				
Dumitrescu	Rares	ROU	B	B	B																				
Shamis	Vladislav	RUS	B	B	B																				
Ismailov	Hussein	RUS		B	B																				
Gugluer	Ilgin	F TUR	B	B	B																				
AS Jiang	Jefei	CHN	B	B	B																				
8 Wang	Xing Qi	CHN	P	B	B																				
Qiao	Min	CHN	B	B	B																				
Fakhri	Peyman	IRN	B	B	B																				
Dyakokin	Jewgeni	KAZ	B	B	B																				
Abdulkarim	AlShamlan	KUW	B	B	B																				
Pham	Anh Tuan	VIE	B	B	B																				
Zheng	Kang Zhao	HKG	B	B	B																				
AM Cantin	Pascal	CAN	B	B	B																				
6 Mola Fuentes	Walfrido	CUB	B	B	B																				
Cox	Matthew	USA		B	B																				
Becker	William	USA	B	B	B																				
Bravo	Carlos	VEN		B	B																				
Moreno	Jesus	VEN	B	B	B																				
AF Bernau	Rouf	ALG	B	B	B																				
3 El Bakry	Medhat	EGY	B	B	B																				
Toure	PapeKahassum	SEN	B	B	B																				
34																									

SF=S.Ferjani, MS=M.Siesto, IM.=I.Mamedov, CJ=C.Janka, VG=V.Gutsait, ID.=I.Dakova, IK=I.Knysch, LA=L.Alvarez, CK=C.Kim,ME=M.EIMotawakel

				17-7-14 cc Jie																				
RC	CK	IM	ID	SF	IK	CJ	WC WF Cancun 17 / 18-10-14	WC MF San Francisco 17 / 18-10-14	WC WF St.Maur 7 / 8-11-14	WC MF Tokyo 7 / 8-11-14	GP WF Turin 29 / 30-11-14	GP MF Turin 29 / 30-11-14	WC WF Gdansk, 16 / 17-1-15	WC MF Paris 16 / 17-1-15	WC WF Alger 6 / 7-2-15	WC MF Bonn 6 / 7-2-15	GP WF Havana 14 / 1 5-3-15	GP MF Havana 14 / 1 5-3-15	WC WF TBB 1 / 2-5-15	WC MF StPetersbg 1/2-5-15	GP WF Shangai 16 / 17-5-15	GP MF Shangai 16 / 17-5-15	total	
																								Res
EU	Madr	Vilem	CZE	B	B						R													0
24	Hejrskov	Mads	DAN	B	B							R												0
	Lorenzo	Javier	ESP	B	B	B				R		1												1
	Wiat	Renault	FRA	B			R																	0
	Guenet	Jean-Marc	FRA	A	B			1																1
	Mage	Pascal	FRA	B	B						1													1
	Böcker	Jens	GER	B	B				1															1
	Vogel	Bodo	GER	B	B	B		1			1													2
	Denzer	Benjamin	GER	B	B	B					1													1
	Lymperopulos	Constantinos	GRE	B	B				R		1													1
	Gatei	Robert	HUN	B	B		R																	0
	Pistacchi	Marco	ITA	B	B	B				1														1
	Pierucci	Guisepe	ITA	B	B		1																	1
	Scomparin	Isacco	ITA	B		B		1																1
	Paghiev	Mihail	MDA	B	B	B					1													1
	Cojociari	Olga	F MDA	B	B					1														1
	Kielpikowski	Pjotr	POL	B	B			1																1
	Wittkovski	Andrzej	POL	B	B						1													1
	Machado	Miguel	POR	B	B					1														1
	Gheorge	Florin	ROU	B	B	B		1			1													2
	Zurawlowa	Natalia	F RUS	B	B		1																	1
	Egorow	Vjatcheslaw	RUS	B	B					1														1
	Nagimov	Rail	RUS	B	B						1													1
	Azarchenkow	Petro	UKR	B			1																	1
AS	Lu	Jianming	CHN	B	B			1		1	R													2
9	Zheng	Xiao lan	CHN	B			1																	1
	Wu	Han Xiong	CHN	B	P	B				1	1													2
	Wong	Kam Kau	HKG	B	B						1													1
	Go	Jong	KOR	B	B						1													1
	Suh	Sangwon	KOR	B	B		1		R		1													2
	Yamaguchi	Katsumi	JAP	B		B		1																1
	Huang	Hao Chi	TPE	B	B	B			R		1													1
	Rashed	Alshamlan	KOW	B	B	B		R			1													1
AM	Kuznetzow	Alexej	CAN	B	B						1	1												2
7	Nguyen	Thomas	CAN	B	B	B		1			R													1
	Rios	Juan	MEX	B	B	B				1		R												1
	Badawi	Amgard	USA	B	B																			0
	Johnson	Kelly	USA	B	B							1												1
	Findley	Douglas	USA	B		B				R														0
	Cordero	Darwin	VEN	B	B		1																	1
AF	El Naggar	Bassel	EGY	B	B	B	1		1		1													3
2	Ferjani	Ayoub	TUN	B	B	B		1	1	1	1													3
42							8	8	8	8	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%

SF=S.Ferjani, MS=M.Siesto, IM.=I.Mamedov, CJ=C.Janka, VG=V.Gutsait, ID.=I.Dakova, IK=I.Knysch, LA=L.Alvarez, CK=C.Kim,ME=M.EIMotawakel

Referees evaluation 2014

09.07.2014

	Sabre				RC	VG	SF	VG	LA	ME	LA	MS	CK	IM	GP	MS	LA	VG	CK	SF		LA	SF	CJ	IK		total	absent WC/GP	
						WC Orleans 31/1-2-14	GP WS Dakar 7/9-2-14	WC MS Madrid 7/8-2-14	WC MS Padua 14/16-2-14	WC WS Gent 21/23-2-14	WC WS Bozen 28/2-3-14	GP MS Budapest 8/9-3-14	WC WS Antalya 14/16-3-14	WC MS Moscou 21/23-3-14	GP WS Moscou 21/22-3-14	WC MS Athen 25/27-4-14	WC MS Chicago 2/4-4-14	WC WS Chicago 2/4-4-14	GP MS Warschau 17/18-5-14	GP WS Beijing 24/25-5-14	GP MS Plovdiv 24/25-5-14	WCH-JC Plovdiv April 14	CHPAM Costa Rica June 14	CHEU Strasbourg June 14	CHAF Cairo June 14	CHAS Swon July 14	WCH Kazan July 14		
EU	Valiev	Fikrat	AZE	B	B	B			17				18	19							17						18	1	
19	Milentschew	Vassil	BUL	B	B	B	19	20	19	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.0	19.3	20.0			20	1		
	Vallejo	Guillermo	ESP	B	B	B		19	18											17.0	16.3		18			18	1		
	Vasques	Daniel	ESP	B	B	B	18	19					18				19						18.0			18	1		
	Cassares	Ignazio	ESP	B	B	B		18		19	20							19				19				19	1		
	Bertier	Anna Laure	F	FRA		B	17										14	16								16			
	Gaby	Bruno	FRA	B	B	B	18		19								19			17.5			18			18	1		
	Bock	Benedikt	GER	P	B	B			18	18																18	2		
	Schiffers	Alexander	GER	B	B	B	19						18							19						19			
	Douvis	Andreas	GRE	B	B	B		17		17			18							18.0	17.5	18.5				18			
	Kaposvary	Zsolt	HUN	B	B	B		19	19	19	20	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19.4					19	1		
	Martlotti	Luigi	ITA	B	B	B		19	19	19	20						20			20				18		19			
	Bucca	Emanuele	ITA	B	B	B		18	17	18		19	19							19.0			19.0			18			
	Snayder †	Rafal	POL	B	B	B		19		18			18									19				19	2		
	Florea	Marius	ROU	B	B	B	19	20	19		20	20	20	20	19	20	19	20								20			
	Sandu	Constantin	ROU	B	B	B		17					18									17.7				18			
	Bykov	Andre	RUS	B	B	B			16				18													17	1		
	Shamis	Vladislaw	RUS	B	B	B	19	20	19	19	20	19	19	20	20	19	19			19.0						19	1		
	Gugluer	Ilgin	F	TUR	B	B	17			17			18				16					16.7	17.0			17			
AS	Jiang	Jefei	CHN	B	B	B		17					18									16.5				17			
9	Wang	Xing Qi	CHN	P	B	B			18							19				18						18			
	Qiao	Min	CHN	B	B	B		17												16.0				17		17			
	Paykarara	Reza	IRN	B	B	B														17				18		18			
	Fakhri	Peyman	IRN	B	B	B			19		19											18.5				19	1		
	Dyakokin	Jewgeni	KAZ	B	B	B		18					17							18		15.7				17			
	Abdulkarim	AiShamlan	KUW	B	B	B											16	18								17	1		
	Pham	Anh Tuan	VIE	B	B	B			17											18						18	1		
	Zheng	Kang Zhao	HKG	B	B	B											17			19		16.8				18	2		
AM	Cantin	Pascal	CAN	B	B	B	18						18				17			19						18			
6	Mola Fuentes	Walfrido	CUB	B	B	B			18								18		18			15.1				17			
	Cox	Matthew	USA	B	B	B		18			20					20				18.5						19			
	Becker	William	USA	B	B	B	17		18			19	18				17						19			18			
	Bravo	Carlos	VEN	B	B	B			17								16									17	1		
	Rioncones	Elias	VEN	B	B	B																				0	1		
AF	Bernaui	Rouf	ALG	B	B	B			17																	17	1		
4	El Bakry	Medhat	EGY	B	B	B	18		19			19				18	19		17.5				18			18	2		
	Toure	PapeKahassum	SEN	B	B	B	19				20		20		20	19								19		20	4		
	Samandi	Hichem	TUN	B	B	B	15	16			16															16	1		
38							8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	11	8	13	0	8	7	8	14	2	7	3		28		
																											%	22	

SF=S.Ferjani, MS=M.Siesto, IM.=I.Mamedov, CJ=C.Janka, VG=V.Gutsait, ID.=I.Dakova, IK=I.Knysch, LA=L.Alvarez, CK=C.Kim,ME=M.EI.Motawakel

by host country

Saber 13/14								WC Orleans 31/1-2-14	GP WS Dakar 7/9-2-14	WC MS Madrid 7/8-2-14	WC MS Padua 14/16-2-14	WC WS Gent 21/23-2-14	WC WS Bozen 28/2-3-14	GP MS Budapest 8/9-3-14	WC WS Antalya 14/16-3-14	WC MS Moscou 21/23-3-14	GP WS Moscou 21/22-3-14	WC MS Athen 25/27-4-14	WC MS Chicago 2/4-4-14	WC WS Chicago 2/4-4-14	GP MS Warschau 17/18-5-14	GP WS Beijing 24/25-5-14	GP MS Plovdiv 24/25-5-14	WCH-JC Plovdiv April 14	CHPAM Costa Rica June 14	CHEU Strasbourg June 14	CHAF Cairo June 14	CHAS Swon July 14	WCH Kazan July 14	total	absent WC/GP
othre	Lahotska	Maxim	BLR	B	B										18																
	Delattre	Jeffrey	BEL	B	B	B						14																			
	Stoychev	Julian	BUL	B	B	B																17	17		16.0						
	Marincheski	Nicolay	BUL	B	B	B																15	13.3								
	Jing	Tao	CHN			B																17									
	Li	Quingfeng	CHN			B																17									
	Liu	Shuang	CHN			B																16									
	Yan	Weidong	CHN			B																17									
	Yang	Zheng	CHN			B																17									
	Zhao	Chungzang	CHN			B																17									
	Zhanh	Jie	F CHN			B																18									
	Medina	F	ESP			B			18																						
	Ochoterena	J	ESP			B			18																						
	Stolz	Damien	FRA			B		18																	17.0						
	Kas	G	FR A			B		15																							
	Jeanny	Aurelie	FR A			B		18			16																				
	Marquestat	enoit	FR A			B																									
	Guth	Jerome	FRA			B																				16.0					
	Bollas	E	GRE			B																7									
	Psychas	N	GRE			B																9									
	Sainis	C	GRE			B																13									
	Gulaczi	Ferenc	HUN			B									11																
	Noseda	Tibor	HUN			B									11																
	Kosa	Miklos	HUN		B	B									20																
	Rose	Julian	GBR			B					15																				
	Scomparin	Isacco	ITA		B	B																				17					
	Pastore	Domenico	ITA			B				18	17																				
	Riontino	Raffaele	ITA			B				18	18																				
	Poggio	Allesandro	ITA			B				17																					
	Trajano	Antonio	ITA			B					17																				
	Gniewkowski	M	POL			B																18									
	Litwinski	M	POL			B																17									
	Matsurek	Julian	POL			B																15									
	Nowinowski	D	POL			B																18									
	Pigula	T	POL			B																18									
	Butakov	A	RUS			B									18	18															
	Zhoukova	M	RUS			B										18															
	Feoktistov	Vjatcheslaw	RUS			B										18															
	Ulku	Irem	TUR			B									16																
	Chatsuvannsin	Nunla	F THA	B	B	B																					17				
	Sturbabi	Oleg	UKR			B									17																
	Decker	Laura	F USA			B																14									
	Glon	Wes	USA			B																14									
	Gritsaev	Sergey	USA			B																14									
	Becker	W.Luis	USA			B																14									
	Stetsov	Oleg	USA			B																17									

	Foil			RC	ME	ID	CJ	CJ	CJ	EM	IM	MS	IK	SF	IM	CK	IM	ID	LA	SF	CJ	IK		total	absent WC/GP			
						WC MF Paris 17/19-1-14	GP WF Gdansk, 31/1-2-14	WC WF Budapest 7/9-2-14	WC MF LaCoruna 21/23-2-14	WC WF SIPetersb,28/2-3-14	GP MF SIPetersbg 1/2-3-14	WC WF TBB 14/16-3-14	GP MF Venice 15/16-3-14	WC MF Bonn 21/23-3-14	WC WF Turin 21/23-3-14	WC MF Seoul 25/27-4-14	GP WF Seoul 26/27-4-14	WC WF Shangai 2/4-5-14	GP MF Tokyo 3/4-5-14	WC MF Havanna 23/25-5-14	GP WF Marseille24/25-5-14	WCH-JC Plovdiv April 14	CHPAM Costa Rica June 14	CHEU Siraßbourg June 14	CHAF Cairo June 14	CHAS Swon July 14	WCH Kazan July 14	
EU	Madr Vilem	CZE	B	B			15			17							18			17.8	18				17.2			
26	Hejrovskov Mads	DAN	B	B									18				16			16.6	18				17.2			
	Lorenzo Javier	ESP	B	B	B			19	19		19	19													19.0			
	Wiar Renault	FRA	B				18				18							18							18.0			
	Guenet Jean-Marc	FRA	A	B		19	18	18															18		18.3			
	Mage Pascal	FRA	B	B		18														18.8					18.4	1		
	Payne Nick	GBR	B	B			14																		14.0			
	Böcker Jens	GER	B	B		18						19													19.0			
	Vogel Bodo	GER	B	B	B	19		19	19		19	19	19	19		19	19								19.0			
	Denzer Benjamin	GER	B	B	B			18				17								18.6					17.9	1		
18	Lyberopolos Constantinos	GRE	B	B					19		19						19			18					18.8			
	Gatei Robert	HUN	B	B																17					17.0			
	Szeley Isztvan	HUN	B	B							17		17												17.0			
	Pislacci Marco	ITA	B	B	B	19	18		19	19			18	19			19								18.9	2		
	Pierucci Guisepe	ITA	B	B			16					18	18												17.3			
	Scomparin Isacco	ITA	B	B	B							19	20										18		19.0	1		
	Paghiev Mihail	MDA	B	B	B		18						19										18		18.3			
	Cojociari Olga	F MDA	B	B					17											14.6					15.8			
	Angard-Gaur Indra	F NED	B	B	B								15										16		15.5			
	Kielplikowski Pjotr	POL	B	B		18			17								19								18.0			
	Wittkowski Andrzej	POL	B	B		18		19		17	19												19		18.4			
	Machado Miguel	POR	B	B				18							18.5								18		18.0			
	Gheorge Florin	ROU	B	B	B	19			19	19	20		20			19	19						20		19.4			
	Zurawlowa Natalia	F RUS	B	B	B	19			18	19			19			19			18	18.0					18.6	1		
	Egorow Vjatcheslaw	RUS	B	B		13			17					18.0											16.0			
	Kovrlja Olga	F SEB	B	B														16	13.8						14.9			
	Azarchenkov Petro	UKR	B			17					19			17.0											17.7			
AS	Lu Jianming	CHN	B	B		18								18.5											18.3	1		
10	Wu Han Xiong	CHN	B	P	B				15																15.0	2		
	Ye Chong	CHN	B	P				15																	15.0	2		
	Wong Kam Kau	HKG	B	B												18	18								18.0	2		
	Cheng Gerald	HKG	B	B	B						17			17.0		16									16.7			
	Go Jong	KOR	B	B			18		17					17.0			18								17.5			
	Suh Sangwon	KOR	B	B		18								18.5		19									18.4			
	Yamaguchi Katsumi	JAP	B	B	B					16				18.0		18								18		17.5		
	Huang Hao Chi	TPE	B	B	B								17	17.0											17.0	1		
	Rashed Alshamlan	KOW	B	B	B			16			16			17.5		19									16.2			
AM	Kuznetzow Alexej	CAN	B	B					18					18.0		19	16						18			17.8		
8	Nguyen Thomas	CAN	B	B	B						15														16.4			
	Rios Juan	MEX	B	B	B			18				19					16			19.0	19				18.2	1		
	Badawi Amgard	USA	B	B			18						16				16								16.7	1		
	Johnson Kelly	USA	B	B			17		17	19							18								18.1			
	Findley Douglas	USA	B		B	18								19.0											18.5			
	Liendo Juan	VEN	B	A	B											15									15.0	1		
	Cordero Darwin	VEN	B	B													16								16.0			
AF	El Naggar Bassel	EGY	B	B	B		16																		16.1	1		
3	Sherif Ali	EGY	B	B	B								18												18.0			
	Ferjani Ayoub	TUN	B	B	B	19	19	19	19	19	20		19.5				20			19.1					19.3			
47						8	8	8	8	12	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	14	2	9	1		18			
																									%	14		

SF=S.Ferjani, MS=M.Siesto, IM.=I.Mamedov, CJ=C.Janka, VG=V.Gutsait, ID.=I.Dakova, IK=I.Knysch, LA=L.Alvarez, CK=C.Kim,ME=M.EIMotawakel

by host country

